

APPENDIX A

PHASE 2 GEOMORPHIC ASSESSMENT DATA



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R15-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	807	Observers:	MN, GA
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/8/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional
		Why Not Assessed:	beaver dam

Step 0 - Location: Segment begins approximately 915 feet downstream of Route 113 crossing and continues for 807 feet where a series of bedrock grade controls begins.

Step 5 - Notes: Very short section that is impounded. Bank erosion likely underestimated - couldn't see in impounded section.

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation:	Grade Controls	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan:	None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Very Steep	Valley Width (ft): 370
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:		Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u>	<u>One</u>	<u>Height</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:
Berm:	0			0	Never
Road:	83	0		0	Sometimes
Railroad:	0			0	Texture:
Imp. Path:	0			0	N.E.
Dev.:	62			0	N.E.
					In Rock Gorge: No
					Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
1.6 Grade Controls:	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R15-A

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	54.00	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:		2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	4.00	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	N/A
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	3.14	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	N/A
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	75.80	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	8.80	Cobble:	19.0 %	Stream Type:	B
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	13.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	17.20	Fine Gravel:	29.0 %	Subclass Slope:	c
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	1.40	Sand:	39.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	2.20	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Not Applicable	# Large Woody Debris:	12	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks				Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	144.1	156.7	Dominant:	Herbaceous Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.5	6.4	Sub-dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling Deciduous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	None	None	Bank Canopy	
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0	0.0	Canopy %:	26-50 26-50
Material Type:	Sand	Sand				Mid-Channel Canopy:	Open
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive					

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant	Forest	Forest
Sub-Dominant	None	0-25	Sub-dominant	Residential	None
W less than 25	274	0	(Legacy)	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	None	
Dominant	Herbaceous	Deciduous	Gullies	None	
Sub-Dominant	Deciduous	Herbaceous			

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Mass Failures					
Height					
Gullies Number	0				
Gullies Length	0				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R15-A

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Minimal	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
4.8 Channel Constrictions:	None	4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	1
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	560

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	1	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No		
Mid:	2	Delta:	0	Flood chutes:	0	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening		
Point:	1	Island:	1	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.):	355	
Side:	1	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	1	Trib Rejuv.:	No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	Left	Right
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Score	STD	Historic	Geomorphic Rating
7.1 Channel Degradation				Channel Evolution Model
7.2 Channel Aggradation				Channel Evolution Stage
7.3 Widening Channel				Geomorphic Condition
7.4 Change in Planform				Stream Sensitivity
Total Score				Fair



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream: **Ompompanoosuc River**
Reach: **R15-B**
Segment Length(ft): **641**
Rain: **No**

SGAT Version: **4.56**
Organization: **Bear Creek Environmental**
Observers: **MN, GA**
Completion Date: **9/8/2010**
Quality Control Status - Consultant: **Passed**
Quality Control Status - Staff: **Provisional**
Why Not Assessed: **bedrock gorge**

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins about 100 feet downstream of Route 113 Bridge and continues until end of bedrock grade controls, 641 feet upstream.**

Step 5 - Notes:

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: **Grade Controls**

1.2 Alluvial Fan: **None**

1.3 Corridor Encroachments:

	Length (ft)	One	Height	Both	Height
Berm:	0			0	
Road:	641	0		0	
Railroad:	0			0	
Imp. Path:	0			0	
Dev.:	464			0	

1.4 Adjacent Side

Hillside Slope:

Continuous w/ Bank:

Within 1 Bankfull W:

Texture:

Left

Steep

Never

Never

N.E.

Right

Extr.Steep

Sometimes

Sometimes

N.E.

1.5 Valley Features

Valley Width (ft): **173**

Width Determination: **Measured**

Confinement Type: **SC**

In Rock Gorge: **Yes**

Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: **Yes**

1.6 Grade Controls:

Type	Location	Total Height	Total Height Above Water	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?
Ledge	Mid-segment	0.0	0.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	5.0	4.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	3.0	2.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	2.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	1.0	Yes	
Dam	Mid-segment	13.0	10.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	3.0	2.0	Yes	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R15-B

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	2.13 Average Largest Particle on
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.12 Substrate Composition	Bed:
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	Bedrock: %	Bar:
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	Boulder: %	2.14 Stream Type
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	Cobble: %	Stream Type: B
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):	Coarse Gravel: %	Bed Material: Cobble
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio: 0.00	Fine Gravel: %	Subclass Slope: None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio: 0.00	Sand: %	Bed Form: Step-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio: 0.00	Silt and Smaller: %	Field Measured Slope:
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.: 0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type
2.9 Sinuosity:	Detritus: 0.0 %	Reference Stream Type: B
2.10 Riffles Type:	# Large Woody Debris:	Reference Bed Material: Cobble
		Reference Subclass Slope: None
		Reference Bedform: Step-Pool

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks	Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u> Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>		Erosion Length (ft.):	148.1 173.9 Dominant: Deciduous Deciduous
Material Type:	Gravel Gravel		Erosion Height (ft.):	7.0 7.0 Sub-dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Herbaceous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive Non-cohesive		Revetment Type:	None Hard Bank Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0 412.1 Canopy %: 76-100 76-100
Material Type:	Boulder/Cobbl Boulder/Cobbl			Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
	e e			
Consistency:	Non-cohesive Non-cohesive			

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100 >100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	None 0-25	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	0 173	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type		Failures
Dominant	Deciduous Deciduous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Herbaceous Herbaceous	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Forest Forest	Mass Failures
None Residential	Height
<u>Amount</u> <u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number 0
None	Gullies Length 0
None	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R15-B

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	Small Run of River	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	None	Flow Reg. Use:	Other	Field Ditch:	0 Road Ditch: 2
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	44	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Deposition Below
Other	6	Yes	No	Yes	No	Deposition Above,Deposition Below,Scour Below,Alignment

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No	
Mid:	Delta:	Flood chutes:	1	Avulsion:	0	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point:	Island:	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.):	641	
Side:	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	0	Trib Rejuv.:	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	Left	Right
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Score	STD	Historic	Geomorphic Rating
7.1 Channel Degradation				Channel Evolution Model
7.2 Channel Aggradation				Channel Evolution Stage
7.3 Widening Channel				Geomorphic Condition
7.4 Change in Planform				Stream Sensitivity
Total Score				Good



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16-0	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,391	Observers:	PD, SP
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/8/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: Segment begins where grade controls in R15 end and continues to the confluence of the Lake Fairlee outlet (R16T2)

Step 5 - Notes: There is an elevated path in the cross section where a berm has been placed. This is acting as the human elevated floodplain.

Step 7 - Narrative: Minor historic incision which has led to major aggradation, widening and planform adjustment. Many diagonal bars and some flood chutes. Abundant fine sediment. Downstream dam and grade controls in R15 may have held back some sediment causing large side bars. STD from E to C from widening.

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation:	None	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan:	None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Hilly	Valley Width (ft): 615
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:		Continuous w/ Bank:	Sometimes	Never	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u>	<u>One</u>	<u>Height</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W: Sometimes
Berm:	444	17	0		Never
Road:	0		0		Confinement Type: BD
Railroad:	0		0		Texture: Sand
Imp. Path:	0		0		N.E.
Dev.:	276		0		In Rock Gorge: No
					Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
1.6 Grade Controls:	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R16-0

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	57.00	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	189 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	4.90	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	1.3 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	3.61	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	1.1 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	298.00	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	6.40	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):	16.80	Coarse Gravel:	7.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	15.79	Fine Gravel:	38.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	5.23	Sand:	48.0 %	Bed Form:	Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.31	Silt and Smaller:	7.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	3.43	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	97	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	851.6	840.5	Dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.5	4.2	Sub-dominant: Deciduous Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	None	None	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0	0.0	Canopy %: 51-75 51-75
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Cohesive	Cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	None	None	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	0	0	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Deciduous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Herbaceous	Deciduous	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Forest	Forest	Mass Failures	71.55	
Sub-Dominant	None	None	Height	12.0	
W less than 25	Amount	Mean Hieght	Gullies Number	0	
Buffer Vegetation Type	One	12.0	Gullies Length	0	
Dominant	None				
Sub-Dominant					



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R16-0

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Minimal	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	1	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0
4.8 Channel Constrictions:	None				

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	3	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No		
Mid:	3	Delta:	0	Flood chutes:	3	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening		
Point:	2	Island:	0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.):	889	
Side:	6	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	2	Trib Rejuv.:	Yes	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:		6.4 Sediment Deposition:		Stream Gradient Type	Left	Right
6.2 Pool Substrate:		6.5 Channel Flow Status:		6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:		6.6 Channel Alteration:		6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:		6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:	0.00					
Habitat Stream Condition:						

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		12	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.45
7.2 Channel Aggradation		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		9	Other	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		7	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		36			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.01-0	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	3,358	Observers:	PD, SP
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	9/16/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional
		Why Not Assessed:	wetland

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins at confluence with the main stem of the Ompompanoosuc River and continues until left valley wall gets close to the stream.**

Step 5 - Notes: **No good cross section location found on reach, even above portion of greatest wetland influence; mostly long pools. Valley wall is on old very high terrace (about 15 feet high in some places); could not see part of left valley wall due to impoundment.**

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: None	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Extr.Steep	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 491
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Confinement Type: BD
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	Sand	Sand	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 168 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.01-0**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	2.13 Average Largest Particle on
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.12 Substrate Composition	Bed:
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	Bedrock: %	Bar:
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	Boulder: %	2.14 Stream Type
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	Cobble: %	Stream Type: E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):	Coarse Gravel: %	Bed Material: Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio: 0.00	Fine Gravel: %	Subclass Slope: None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio: 0.00	Sand: %	Bed Form: Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio: 0.00	Silt and Smaller: %	Field Measured Slope:
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.: 0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type
2.9 Sinuosity:	Detritus: 0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:
2.10 Riffles Type:	# Large Woody Debris:	Reference Bed Material:
		Reference Subclass Slope:
		Reference Bedform:

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks	Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u> Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>		Erosion Length (ft.):	225.7 298.1 Dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand Sand		Erosion Height (ft.):	13.0 2.9 Sub-dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive Non-cohesive		Revetment Type:	None None Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0 0.0 Canopy %: 51-75 51-75
Material Type:	Silt Silt			Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Non-cohesive Non-cohesive			

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100 >100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	26-50 51-100	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	0 0	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type		Failures
Dominant	Mixed Trees Mixed Trees	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Dominant	Forest Forest	Mass Failures	179.14
Sub-Dominant	None Residential	Height	15.0
Amount	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0
Multiple	15.0	Gullies Length	0
None			



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.01-0**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Abundant**

4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Minimal**

4.3 Flow Status: **Low**

4.4 # of Debris Jams: **3**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**

Flow Reg. Use:

Impoundments:

Impoundment Loc.:

4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**

(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**

Field Ditch: Road Ditch:

Other: Tile Drain:

Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:

4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **1**

Affected Length (ft): **3078**

4.8 Channel Constrictions: **None**

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal: **0**

Mid: **0** Delta: **0**

Point: **4** Island: **1**

Side: **4** Braiding: **1**

5.2 Other Features

Flood chutes: **4**

5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts

Steep Riffles: **0**

Neck Cutoff: **2**

Avulsion: **1**

Head Cuts: **0**

Trib Rejuv.: **No**

5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: **No**

5.5 Straightening: **None**

Straightening Length (ft.): **0**

5.5 Dredging: **None**

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:

6.2 Pool Substrate:

6.3 Pool Variability:

Total Score:

Habitat Rating:

Habitat Stream Condition:

6.4 Sediment Deposition:

6.5 Channel Flow Status:

6.6 Channel Alteration:

6.7 Channel Sinuosity:

Stream Gradient Type

Left Right

6.8 Bank Stability:

6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection

6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type

Score

STD

Historic

7.1 Channel Degradation

7.2 Channel Aggradation

7.3 Widening Channel

7.4 Change in Planform

Total Score

Geomorphic Rating

Channel Evolution Model

Channel Evolution Stage

Geomorphic Condition

Good

Stream Sensitivity



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream: **Blood Brook**
Reach: **R16T2.02-0**
Segment Length(ft): **1,632**
Rain: **Yes**

SGAT Version: **4.56**
Organization: **Bear Creek Environmental**
Observers: **PD, SP**
Completion Date: **9/16/2010**
Quality Control Status - Consultant: **Passed**
Quality Control Status - Staff: **Provisional**

Step 0 - Location: **Reach begins where the left valley wall gets close to the stream and continues to the dam at the Lake Fairlee outlet.**

Step 5 - Notes:

Step 7 - Narrative: **Minor historic incision; Dam at upstream end of reach which has impacted flows and held back sediment in reach. Current active processes include widening, aggradation, and planform, but all are minor. Trees are falling in from banks indicating widening. In early F-III or late F-II.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: None	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Steep	Valley Width (ft): 260
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Sometimes	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Confinement Type: NW
Berm: 0	Texture:	N.E.	Sand	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0				
Imp. Path: 0				
Dev.: 719				

1.6 Grade Controls:

Type	Location	Total Height	Total Height Above Water	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?
Dam	Mid-segment	14.0	13.0	Yes	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.02-0**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	49.50	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:		2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	3.80	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	N/A
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	2.97	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	N/A
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	131.00	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	4.60	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	0.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	16.67	Fine Gravel:	0.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	2.65	Sand:	70.0 %	Bed Form:	Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.21	Silt and Smaller:	30.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Moderate	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Not Applicable	# Large Woody Debris:	156	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	210.1	133.0	Dominant:	Herbaceous	Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.0	2.6	Sub-dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	None	None	Bank Canopy		
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0	0.0	Canopy %:	51-75	51-75
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy:	Open	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive						

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant	Forest	Forest
Sub-Dominant	51-100	26-50	Sub-dominant	Residential	Residential
W less than 25	0	54	(Legacy)	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	One	15.0
Dominant	Mixed Trees	Mixed Trees	Gullies	None	
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling			

3.3 Riparian Corridor

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Mass Failures	51.85
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant	Forest	Forest	Height	15.0
Sub-Dominant	51-100	26-50	Sub-dominant	Residential	Residential	Gullies Number	0
W less than 25	0	54	(Legacy)	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>	Gullies Length	0
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	One	15.0		
Dominant	Mixed Trees	Mixed Trees	Gullies	None			
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling					



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.02-0**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	Large Run of River	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Minimal	Flow Reg. Use:	Recreation	Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	5	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0
4.8 Channel Constrictions:	None				

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	0	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	1	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No		
Mid:	2	Delta:	0	Flood chutes:	8	5.5 Straightening:	None		
Point:	7	Island:	1	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.):	0	
Side:	2	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	0	Trib Rejuv.:	No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:		6.4 Sediment Deposition:		Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:		6.5 Channel Flow Status:		6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:		6.6 Channel Alteration:		6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:		6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:	0.00					
Habitat Stream Condition:						

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		13	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.66
7.2 Channel Aggradation		12	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		15	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		13	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Good
Total Score		53			Stream Sensitivity	High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.04-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,757	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	7/29/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional
		Why Not Assessed:	wetland

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins at confluence with Lake Fairlee and continues until King Hill Road crossing**

Step 5 - Notes: **No landowner permission at upper end of segment. Lower portion of segment is wetland.**

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Property Access	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Steep	Valley Width (ft): 407
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 143 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.04-A**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	2.13 Average Largest Particle on
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.12 Substrate Composition	Bed:
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	Bedrock: %	Bar:
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	Boulder: %	2.14 Stream Type
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	Cobble: %	Stream Type: E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):	Coarse Gravel: %	Bed Material: Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio: 0.00	Fine Gravel: %	Subclass Slope: None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio: 0.00	Sand: %	Bed Form: Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio: 0.00	Silt and Smaller: %	Field Measured Slope:
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.: 0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type
2.9 Sinuosity:	Detritus: %	Reference Stream Type:
2.10 Riffles Type:	# Large Woody Debris:	Reference Bed Material:
		Reference Subclass Slope:
		Reference Bedform:

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks	Typical Bank Slope: Moderate			
Bank Texture	Bank Erosion		Near Bank Vegetation Type	
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Sub-dominant:	Herbaceous
Lower			Bank Canopy	
Material Type:	Silt	Silt	Canopy %:	76-100
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Mid-Channel Canopy:	Closed

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	26-50	51-100	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	0	0	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Herbaceous	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures	
Residential	Residential	Height	
<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0
None		Gullies Length	0
None			



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.04-A**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Abundant	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Abundant	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	0 Road Ditch: 1
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Instream Culvert	19	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Scour Below

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No
Mid:	Delta:	Flood chutes:	Avulsion:	0	5.5 Straightening:	None
Point:	Island:	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.):	0
Side:	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	0	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	<u>Score</u>	<u>STD</u>	<u>Historic</u>	Geomorphic Rating
7.1 Channel Degradation				Channel Evolution Model
7.2 Channel Aggradation				Channel Evolution Stage
7.3 Widening Channel				Geomorphic Condition
7.4 Change in Planform				Good
Total Score				Stream Sensitivity



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.04-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	997	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	7/29/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins at King Hill Road crossing and continues until valley gets wider.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Livestock have been in buffer and along banks causing erosion. Recent cow "pies". No subdominant bedform. Good livestock exclusion project.**
There is herbaceous vegetation associated with cow pasture, but there is still woody vegetation within the buffer. Buffers are therefore greater than 25 feet and the dominant buffer width on both sides is greater than 100 feet. This segment flows through a wetland also in the upper part of the segment.

Step 7 - Narrative: **Major historic incision, which has caused major widening. Alders are preventing further widening. Aggradation and planform change are minor.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Property Access	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Steep	Valley Width (ft): 558
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				

1.6 Grade Controls: **None**



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.04-B**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	11.20	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:		2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.50	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	N/A
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.79	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	N/A
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	575.00	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	3.80	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	0.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	6.26	Fine Gravel:	20.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	51.34	Sand:	80.0 %	Bed Form:	Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.52	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	High	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Not Applicable	# Large Woody Debris:	2	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type		
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	505.1	448.7	Dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	2.0	1.9	Sub-dominant:	Pasture	Pasture
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Hard Bank	Hard Bank	Bank Canopy		
Lower			Revetment Length:	39.7	41.4	Canopy %:	76-100	76-100
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy:	Closed	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive						

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	None	None	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	0	0	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures	
Sub-Dominant	Pasture	Pasture	Height	
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0
None	None		Gullies Length	0



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.04-B**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Abundant**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**

4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Abundant**

Flow Reg. Use:

Field Ditch: Road Ditch:

4.3 Flow Status: **Low**

Impoundments:

Other: Tile Drain:

4.4 # of Debris Jams: **0**

Impoundment Loc.:

Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:

4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**

4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **0**

(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

Affected Length (ft): **0**

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	12	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Deposition Above, Deposition Below, Scour Above, Scour Below

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal: **0** 5.2 Other Features Neck Cutoff: **3** 5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: **Yes**
 Mid: **0** Delta: **0** Flood chutes: **0** Avulsion: **0** 5.5 Straightening: **None**
 Point: **1** Island: **0** 5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts Head Cuts: **0** Straightening Length (ft.): **0**
 Side: **3** Braiding: **0** Steep Riffles: **0** Trib Rejuv.: **No** 5.5 Dredging: **None**

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.: 6.4 Sediment Deposition: Stream Gradient Type Left Right
 6.2 Pool Substrate: 6.5 Channel Flow Status: 6.8 Bank Stability:
 6.3 Pool Variability: 6.6 Channel Alteration: 6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection
 Total Score: **0** 6.7 Channel Sinuosity: 6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:
 Habitat Rating: **0.00**
 Habitat Stream Condition:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		9	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.60
7.2 Channel Aggradation		17	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		14	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		48			Stream Sensitivity	Extreme



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.05-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,497	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	10/8/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Segment located about 1,000 feet upstream of King Hill Road crossing and continues until right buffer becomes more wooded.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Alders dominant on bank. Invasives include: Japanese barberry and honeysuckle.**

Based on the cross section, it is possible that this segment incised more than once during modern times. A high abandoned terrace was noted as the RAF on the left bank.

Step 7 - Narrative: **Major historic incision and major aggradation (point bars); major widening as shown by erosion on high banks and major planform adjustment. Many flood chutes inside of every bend. Stream type departure from an "E" to a "C" due to prevalent flood chutes that formed from excessive aggradation.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Banks and Buffers	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Very Steep	Valley Width (ft): 776
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-A**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	26.20	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	93 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	3.00	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	N/A
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.16	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	N/A
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	229.20	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	5.30	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	2.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	22.59	Fine Gravel:	25.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	8.75	Sand:	69.0 %	Bed Form:	Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.77	Silt and Smaller:	4.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	High	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Complete	# Large Woody Debris:	4	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	595.0	832.5	Dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.0	2.9	Sub-dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	None	None	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0	0.0	Canopy %: 51-75 51-75
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy: Closed
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	51-100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	0-25	0-25	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	147	188	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Herbaceous	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Pasture	Mass Failures		
Sub-Dominant	Pasture	Shrubs/Sapling	Height		
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>	Gullies Number	0	
None	None		Gullies Length	0	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-A**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Abundant	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Moderate	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0
4.8 Channel Constrictions:	None				

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	0	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	1	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	Yes		
Mid:	0	Delta:	0	Flood chutes:	15	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening		
Point:	10	Island:	0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.):	206	
Side:	0	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	0	Trib Rejuv.:	No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:		6.4 Sediment Deposition:		Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:		6.5 Channel Flow Status:		6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:		6.6 Channel Alteration:		6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:		6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:	0.00					
Habitat Stream Condition:						

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		8	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.38
7.2 Channel Aggradation		7	Other	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	IV
7.4 Change in Planform		7	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		30			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.05-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	2,615	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	10/8/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins where right buffer gets more wooded and continues until beaver dam.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Average largest particle size measurement was not possible on bars because they were comprised of sand. The average largest particle in the bed was 0.62 inches on average.
The RSI and embeddedness were not evaluated because the substrate was too small.**

To be more consistent with the cross section in R16T2.05-A, a higher RAF was chosen for the cross section than what was selected in the field. The resultant incision ratio was then 1.83. There may have been more than one incision historically and we wanted to capture the higher RAF. There are areas within this segment that have more floodplain access so a second cross section was done.

Step 7 - Narrative: **Major historic incision although there are areas where incision is not as high (see cross section #2). Major aggradation (point bars, mcbs), widening (extensive erosion), and planform adjustment (many flood chutes).**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Banks and Buffers	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Steep	Very Steep	Valley Width (ft): 770
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-B**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	27.20	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	113 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.90	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	0.62 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.46	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	N/A inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	234.00	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	5.30	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	4.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	18.63	Fine Gravel:	41.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	8.60	Sand:	50.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.83	Silt and Smaller:	5.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Moderate	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Complete	# Large Woody Debris:	22	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	1,400.8	1,120.9	Dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.0	3.0	Sub-dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	None	None	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0	0.0	Canopy %: 51-75 76-100
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy: Closed
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	51-100	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	0-25	None	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	540	0	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Mixed Trees	Mixed Trees	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Herbaceous	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Forest	Forest	Mass Failures	
Sub-Dominant	Hay	None	Height	
W less than 25	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>	Gullies Number	0
Failures	None		Gullies Length	0
Gullies	None			



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-B**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Minimal**
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Abundant**
4.3 Flow Status: **Moderate**
4.4 # of Debris Jams: **2**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**
Flow Reg. Use:
Impoundments:
Impoundment Loc.:
4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**
(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**
Field Ditch: Road Ditch:
Other: Tile Drain:
Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **0**
Affected Length (ft): **0**

4.8 Channel Constrictions: **None**

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal: **0** 5.2 Other Features Neck Cutoff: **4** 5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: **Yes**
Mid: **6** Delta: **0** Flood chutes: **29** Avulsion: **0** 5.5 Straightening: **Straightening**
Point: **20** Island: **5** 5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts Head Cuts: **0** Straightening Length (ft.): **200**
Side: **6** Braiding: **1** Steep Riffles: **0** Trib Rejuv.: **No** 5.5 Dredging: **None**

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.: 6.4 Sediment Deposition: Stream Gradient Type Left Right
6.2 Pool Substrate: 6.5 Channel Flow Status: 6.8 Bank Stability:
6.3 Pool Variability: 6.6 Channel Alteration: 6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection
Total Score: **0** 6.7 Channel Sinuosity: 6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:
Habitat Rating: **0.00**
Habitat Stream Condition:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		10	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.39
7.2 Channel Aggradation		7	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		7	Other	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		7	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		31			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.05-C	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,507	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/29/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional
		Why Not Assessed:	wetland

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins at beaver dam and continues until end of wetland vegetation.**

Step 5 - Notes: **No bridge and culvert assessment at bridge - over wetland. First 200 feet on upstream end was a channel with nice buffer of good wetland vegetation. That section is incised like upstream segment.**

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Banks and Buffers	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Very Steep	Valley Width (ft): 809
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-C**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	2.13 Average Largest Particle on
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.12 Substrate Composition	Bed:
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	Bedrock: %	Bar:
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	Boulder: %	2.14 Stream Type
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	Cobble: %	Stream Type: E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):	Coarse Gravel: %	Bed Material: Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio: 0.00	Fine Gravel: %	Subclass Slope: None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio: 0.00	Sand: %	Bed Form: Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio: 0.00	Silt and Smaller: %	Field Measured Slope:
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.: 0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type
2.9 Sinuosity:	Detritus: %	Reference Stream Type:
2.10 Riffles Type:	# Large Woody Debris:	Reference Bed Material:
		Reference Subclass Slope:
		Reference Bedform:

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks	Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture	Bank Erosion		Near Bank Vegetation Type	
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Herbaceous	Herbaceous
Lower	Bank Erosion		Bank Canopy	
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Canopy %:	51-75
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Mid-Channel Canopy:	Closed

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	51-100	None	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	0	0	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type	Failures		Failures
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Herbaceous	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures	
Hay	Forest	Height	
<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>	Gullies Number	0
None		Gullies Length	0
None			



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-C**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Minimal**
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Abundant**
4.3 Flow Status: **Low**
4.4 # of Debris Jams: **2**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**
Flow Reg. Use:
Impoundments:
Impoundment Loc.:
4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**
(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**
Field Ditch: Road Ditch:
Other: Tile Drain:
Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **3**
Affected Length (ft): **1090**

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	13	Yes	Yes	No	No	None

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal: **0** 5.2 Other Features Neck Cutoff: **0** 5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: **No**
Mid: **0** Delta: **0** Flood chutes: **6** Avulsion: **0** 5.5 Straightening: **Straightening**
Point: **4** Island: **0** 5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts Head Cuts: **1** Straightening Length (ft.): **20**
Side: **2** Braiding: **0** Steep Riffles: **0** Trib Rejuv.: **No** 5.5 Dredging: **None**

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.: 6.4 Sediment Deposition: Stream Gradient Type Left Right
6.2 Pool Substrate: 6.5 Channel Flow Status: 6.8 Bank Stability:
6.3 Pool Variability: 6.6 Channel Alteration: 6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection
Total Score: 6.7 Channel Sinuosity: 6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:
Habitat Rating:
Habitat Stream Condition:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Score	STD	Historic	Geomorphic Rating
7.1 Channel Degradation				Channel Evolution Model
7.2 Channel Aggradation				Channel Evolution Stage
7.3 Widening Channel				Geomorphic Condition
7.4 Change in Planform				Good
Total Score				Stream Sensitivity



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream: **Blood Brook**
Reach: **R16T2.05-D**
Segment Length(ft): **610**
Rain: **No**

SGAT Version: **4.56**
Organization: **Bear Creek Environmental**
Observers: **MN, PD**
Completion Date: **9/29/2010**
Quality Control Status - Consultant: **Passed**
Quality Control Status - Staff: **Provisional**

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins where wetland vegetation ends and continues until there is no property access near horse pasture.**

Step 5 - Notes:

Step 7 - Narrative: **Major historic incision, minor aggradation; stream is experiencing major widening as evident by extensive erosion on both banks. Has probably been straightened at some point. Riprap in channel and on banks in places. Planform change is major as seen by 3 flood chutes in a short section. Starting to regain some sinuosity. Start of juvenile floodplain (narrow).**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Banks and Buffers	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Hilly	Very Steep	Valley Width (ft): 543
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0				
Imp. Path: 0				
Dev.: 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-D**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	10.40	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	65 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	1.80	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	3.62 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.38	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	1.84 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	108.70	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	2.60	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	32.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	7.54	Fine Gravel:	44.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	10.45	Sand:	21.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.44	Silt and Smaller:	3.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Complete	# Large Woody Debris:	13	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep				
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u>			<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	308.6	297.4	Dominant:	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.1	3.0	Sub-dominant:	None	Shrubs/Sapling	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy			
Lower			Revetment Length:	68.3	54.0	Canopy %:	26-50	76-100	
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy:		Open	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive							

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	0-25	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	51-100	None	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	414	0	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Mixed Trees	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	None	Herbaceous	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Pasture	Forest	Mass Failures		
Sub-Dominant	None	None	Height		
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0	
Failures	None		Gullies Length	0	
Gullies	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-D**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Minimal	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	1	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0
4.8 Channel Constrictions:	None				

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	0	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No		
Mid:	0	Delta:	0	Flood chutes:	3	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening		
Point:	1	Island:	1	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.):	610	
Side:	4	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	2	Trib Rejuv.:	No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:		6.4 Sediment Deposition:		Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:		6.5 Channel Flow Status:		6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:		6.6 Channel Alteration:		6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:		6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:	0.00					
Habitat Stream Condition:						

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		8	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.44
7.2 Channel Aggradation		11	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		7	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		9	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		35			Stream Sensitivity	Extreme



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report **Ompompanoosuc**

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.05-E	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,489	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/29/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional
		Why Not Assessed:	no property access

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins where there is no landowner permission at start of pasture on both sides of stream and continues until just upstream of Marsh Hill Road.**

Step 5 - Notes:

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation:	Property Access	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan:	None	Hillside Slope:	Hilly	Hilly	Valley Width (ft): 622
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:		Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u>	<u>One</u>	<u>Height</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:
Berm:	0		0		Never
Road:	0		0		Sometimes
Railroad:	0		0		Texture:
Imp. Path:	0		0		N.E.
Dev.:	220		0		N.E.
					In Rock Gorge: No
					Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
1.6 Grade Controls:	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-E**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	2.13 Average Largest Particle on
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.12 Substrate Composition	Bed:
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	Bedrock: %	Bar:
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	Boulder: %	2.14 Stream Type
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	Cobble: %	Stream Type: E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):	Coarse Gravel: %	Bed Material: Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio: 0.00	Fine Gravel: %	Subclass Slope: None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio: 0.00	Sand: %	Bed Form: Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio: 0.00	Silt and Smaller: %	Field Measured Slope:
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.: 0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type
2.9 Sinuosity:	Detritus: %	Reference Stream Type:
2.10 Riffles Type:	# Large Woody Debris:	Reference Bed Material:
		Reference Subclass Slope:
		Reference Bedform:

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks	Typical Bank Slope: Steep					
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	17.0	0.0	Dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.0	0.0	Sub-dominant: Deciduous Deciduous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	37.2	48.7	Canopy %: 1-25 1-25
Material Type:	Sand	Sand				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	0-25	0-25
Sub-Dominant	26-50	26-50
W less than 25	1,195	1,018
Buffer Vegetation Type		
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous
Sub-Dominant	Mixed Trees	Mixed Trees

3.3 Riparian Corridor

Corridor Land	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Pasture	Pasture	Mass Failures	
Sub-dominant	Residential	Residential	Height	
(Legacy)	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0
Failures	None		Gullies Length	0
Gullies	None			



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.05-E**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Minimal**

4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Minimal**

4.3 Flow Status: **Low**

4.4 # of Debris Jams: **0**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**

Flow Reg. Use:

Impoundments:

Impoundment Loc.:

4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**

(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**

Field Ditch: Road Ditch:

Other: Tile Drain:

Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:

4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **0**

Affected Length (ft): **0**

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Instream Culvert	6.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Scour Above

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal:

Mid: Delta:

Point: Island:

Side: Braiding: **0**

5.2 Other Features

Flood chutes: **0**

5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts

Steep Riffles: **0**

Neck Cutoff: **0**

Avulsion: **0**

Head Cuts: **0**

Trib Rejuv.:

5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: **No**

5.5 Straightening: **Straightening**

Straightening Length (ft.): **781**

5.5 Dredging: **None**

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:

6.2 Pool Substrate:

6.3 Pool Variability:

Total Score:

Habitat Rating:

Habitat Stream Condition:

6.4 Sediment Deposition:

6.5 Channel Flow Status:

6.6 Channel Alteration:

6.7 Channel Sinuosity:

Stream Gradient Type

Left Right

6.8 Bank Stability:

6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection

6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type

Score

STD

Historic

7.1 Channel Degradation

7.2 Channel Aggradation

7.3 Widening Channel

7.4 Change in Planforml

Total Score

Geomorphic Rating

Channel Evolution Model

Channel Evolution Stage

Geomorphic Condition

Stream Sensitivity

Fair



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.06-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,386	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/29/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins just upstream of Marsh Hill Road crossing and continues until banks and buffers become wooded.**

Step 5 - Notes: **This segment was most likely wetland that has been altered. Channelized and both sides used as horse pasture. Spoke to landowners and they are interested in project here. Many head cuts present and gully that is incised. Channel is actively incising. No buffer and extensive slump erosion. Clay on banks in places. Animal fords are causing localized erosion.**

Step 7 - Narrative: **Extreme active incision through the presence of head cuts. Channel is actively widening; abundant erosion on both banks. Channel (riparian corridor) should be a wetland naturally and has been altered with straightening and some dredging. minor deposition and planform change (some islands).**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Banks and Buffers	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Hilly	Hilly	Valley Width (ft): 522
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.06-A**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	5.20	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	82 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.00	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	4.6 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	0.94	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	2.3 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	160.50	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	3.20	Cobble:	9.0 %	Stream Type:	E
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	30.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	5.53	Fine Gravel:	24.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	30.87	Sand:	33.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.60	Silt and Smaller:	4.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Eroded	# Large Woody Debris:	0	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	831.9	857.6	Dominant: Pasture Pasture
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	2.8	2.8	Sub-dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	158.8	12.9	Canopy %: 51-75 51-75
Material Type:	Clay	Clay				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Cohesive	Cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	0-25	0-25	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	None	None	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	1,385	1,385	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	None	None	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Pasture	Pasture	Mass Failures	
Sub-Dominant	None	None	Height	
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0
Failures	None		Gullies Length	0
Gullies	None			



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.06-A**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Minimal**

4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Minimal**

4.3 Flow Status: **Low**

4.4 # of Debris Jams: **0**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**

Flow Reg. Use:

Impoundments:

Impoundment Loc.:

4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**

(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**

Field Ditch: Road Ditch:

Other: Tile Drain:

Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:

4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **0**

Affected Length (ft): **0**

4.8 Channel Constrictions: **None**

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal: **0**

Mid: **0** Delta: **0**

Point: **2** Island: **3**

Side: **0** Braiding: **0**

5.2 Other Features

Flood chutes: **0**

5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts

Steep Riffles: **0**

Neck Cutoff: **0**

Avulsion: **0**

Head Cuts: **6**

Trib Rejuv.: **Yes**

5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: **Yes**

5.5 Straightening: **Straightening**

Straightening Length (ft.): **1,386**

5.5 Dredging: **Dredging**

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:

6.2 Pool Substrate:

6.3 Pool Variability:

Total Score: **0**

Habitat Rating: **0.00**

Habitat Stream Condition:

6.4 Sediment Deposition:

6.5 Channel Flow Status:

6.6 Channel Alteration:

6.7 Channel Sinuosity:

Stream Gradient Type

Left Right

6.8 Bank Stability:

6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection

6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		2	None	No	Geomorphic Rating	0.40
7.2 Channel Aggradation		15	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		7	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	II
7.4 Change in Planform		8	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		32			Stream Sensitivity	Extreme



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report **Ompompanoosuc**

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.06-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	2,441	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	7/29/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins where banks and buffers become wooded and continues until pedestrian bridge just downstream of Godfrey Road crossing.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Deposition above at snowmobile bridge is due to boulder at inlet; slight scour below; some deep water below may be due to debris jam immediately downstream of the bridge.**

A pond visible in 2008 NAIP aerial imagery is actually off to the side of the stream and the stream does not flow in and out of it. That is why there is no dam there or flow regulation. Perhaps water is diverted into the pond from the stream, but we did not see any evidence of that when we were there.

Extreme active incision; head cuts present.

Step 7 - Narrative: **Extreme active incision; channel seems to be widening as evident by abundant erosion; minor planform adjustment. Channel has been straightened in some locations. Late stage F-II, early F-III.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Banks and Buffers	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Hilly	Hilly	Valley Width (ft): 440
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 16 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook** Reach: **R16T2.06-B**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	10.70	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	87 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	1.80	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	5.04 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.07	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	2.4 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	244.00	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	2.80	Cobble:	18.0 %	Stream Type:	E
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	36.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	10.00	Fine Gravel:	35.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	22.80	Sand:	11.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.56	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Eroded	# Large Woody Debris:	4	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	1,922.0	1,758.5	Dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.4	3.3	Sub-dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	367.0	217.0	Canopy %: 51-75 51-75
Material Type:	Gravel	Gravel				Mid-Channel Canopy: Closed
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	0-25	26-50	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	193	2	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures	
Sub-Dominant	Residential	Residential	Height	
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0
None	None		Gullies Length	0



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.06-B**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Abundant**

4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Abundant**

4.3 Flow Status: **Low**

4.4 # of Debris Jams: **9**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**

Flow Reg. Use:

Impoundments:

Impoundment Loc.:

4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**

(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**

Field Ditch: Road Ditch:

Other: Tile Drain:

Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:

4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **1**

Affected Length (ft): **80**

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	14.5	Yes	Yes	No	No	Deposition Above
Bridge	15.8	Yes	Yes	No	No	Deposition Above, Scour Below
Other	9	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Deposition Above, Deposition Below

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 1	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: No
Mid: 1	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 2	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening: Straightening
Point: 6	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 4	Straightening Length (ft.): 1,428
Side: 21	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 1	Trib Rejuv.: Yes	5.5 Dredging: None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		5	None	No	Geomorphic Rating	0.49
7.2 Channel Aggradation		14	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	II
7.4 Change in Planform		12	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		39			Stream Sensitivity	Extreme



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream: **Blood Brook**
Reach: **R16T2.06-C**
Segment Length(ft): **2,394**
Rain: **No**

SGAT Version: **4.56**
Organization: **Bear Creek Environmental**
Observers: **MN, PD**
Completion Date: **9/3/2010**
Quality Control Status - Consultant: **Passed**
Quality Control Status - Staff: **Provisional**

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins where grade controls begin at pedestrian bridge #2 and continues wetland at upstream end of reach.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Narrow width to depth ratio, yet is a "C" channel. Minor flood chute on right bank.**

Step 7 - Narrative: **Many depositional features but aggradation is still minor. Minor planform change.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: **Grade Controls**

1.2 Alluvial Fan: **None**

1.3 Corridor Encroachments:

	<u>Length (ft)</u>	<u>One</u>	<u>Height</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Height</u>
Berm:	0			0	
Road:	153	0		0	
Railroad:	0			0	
Imp. Path:	0			0	
Dev.:	461			0	

1.4 Adjacent Side

Left

Right

Hillside Slope:

Hilly

Very Steep

Continuous w/ Bank:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Within 1 Bankfull W:

Sometimes

Sometimes

Texture:

N.E.

N.E.

1.5 Valley Features

Valley Width (ft): **211**

Width Determination: **Measured**

Confinement Type: **VB**

In Rock Gorge: **No**

Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: **No**

1.6 Grade Controls:

Type	Location	Total Height	Total Height Above Water	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?
Waterfall	Mid-segment	5.0	5.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	1.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	4.0	3.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	2.0	Yes	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.06-C**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	11.00	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	95 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	1.90	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	6 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	0.95	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	3.2 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	57.50	Boulder:	1.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	1.90	Cobble:	20.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	51.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	11.58	Fine Gravel:	6.0 %	Subclass Slope:	b
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	5.23	Sand:	22.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.00	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Moderate	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	C
2.10 Riffles Type:	Complete	# Large Woody Debris:	40	Reference Bed Material:	Gravel
				Reference Subclass Slope:	b
				Reference Bedform:	Riffle-Pool

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep				
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u>			<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	434.7	669.1	Dominant:	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.3	4.8	Sub-dominant:	Deciduous	Deciduous	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy			
Lower			Revetment Length:	228.6	204.5	Canopy %:	76-100	76-100	
Material Type:	Gravel	Gravel				Mid-Channel Canopy:		Closed	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive							

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant	Forest	Forest
Sub-Dominant	26-50	26-50	Sub-dominant	Residential	Residential
W less than 25	70	52	(Legacy)	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	None	
Dominant	Deciduous	Deciduous	Gullies	None	
Sub-Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous			

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Mass Failures					
Height					
Gullies Number	0				
Gullies Length	0				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.06-C**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Minimal	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	0 Road Ditch: 2
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	7	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	15	Yes	Yes	No	No	Deposition Below
Bridge	13	Yes	Yes	No	No	Deposition Above, Deposition Below
Instream Culvert	5.6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	None

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 3	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No
Mid: 2	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 6	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point: 13	Island: 2	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	404
Side: 28	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 2	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		18	None	No	Geomorphic Rating	0.74
7.2 Channel Aggradation		13	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		16	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	I
7.4 Change in Planform		12	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Good
Total Score		59			Stream Sensitivity	High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Blood Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56	
Reach:	R16T2.06-D	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental	
Segment Length(ft):	542	Observers:	MN, PD/BCE	
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/3/2010	
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:		Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:		Provisional
		Why Not Assessed:		wetland

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins where wetland begins and continues until end of reach.**

Step 5 - Notes:

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Channel Dimensions	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan:	Hillside Slope:	Steep	Steep	Valley Width (ft): 306
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm:	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road:				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad:				
Imp. Path:				
Dev.:				
1.6 Grade Controls:	None			



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.06-D**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	2.13 Average Largest Particle on
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.12 Substrate Composition	Bed:
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	Bedrock: %	Bar:
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	Boulder: %	2.14 Stream Type
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	Cobble: %	Stream Type: E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):	Coarse Gravel: %	Bed Material: Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio: 0.00	Fine Gravel: %	Subclass Slope: None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio: 0.00	Sand: %	Bed Form: Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio: 0.00	Silt and Smaller: %	Field Measured Slope:
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.: 0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type
2.9 Sinuosity:	Detritus: %	Reference Stream Type:
2.10 Riffles Type:	# Large Woody Debris:	Reference Bed Material:
		Reference Subclass Slope:
		Reference Bedform:

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks	Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture	Bank Erosion		Near Bank Vegetation Type	
	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Dominant:	Herbaceous Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Sub-dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Bank Canopy	
Lower			Canopy %:	76-100 76-100
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Mid-Channel Canopy:	Closed
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive		

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	None	None	Sub-dominant
W less than 25			(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures	
None	None	Height	
<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>	Gullies Number	
		Gullies Length	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Blood Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.06-D**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Abundant**

4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Abundant**

4.3 Flow Status: **Low**

4.4 # of Debris Jams:

4.5 Flow Regulation Type

Flow Reg. Use:

Impoundments:

Impoundment Loc.:

4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**

(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**

Field Ditch: Road Ditch:

Other: Tile Drain:

Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:

4.9 # of Beaver Dams:

Affected Length (ft):

4.8 Channel Constrictions: **None**

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal:

Mid: Delta:

Point: Island:

Side: Braiding:

5.2 Other Features

Flood chutes:

5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts

Steep Riffles:

Neck Cutoff:

Avulsion:

Head Cuts:

Trib Rejuv.:

5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:

5.5 Straightening:

Straightening Length (ft.): **0**

5.5 Dredging:

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:

6.2 Pool Substrate:

6.3 Pool Variability:

Total Score:

Habitat Rating:

Habitat Stream Condition:

6.4 Sediment Deposition:

6.5 Channel Flow Status:

6.6 Channel Alteration:

6.7 Channel Sinuosity:

Stream Gradient Type

Left

Right

6.8 Bank Stability:

6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection

6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type

Score

STD

Historic

7.1 Channel Degradation

7.2 Channel Aggradation

7.3 Widening Channel

7.4 Change in Planform

Total Score

Geomorphic Rating

Channel Evolution Model

Channel Evolution Stage

Geomorphic Condition

Stream Sensitivity

Reference



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Middle Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.03S1.01-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	5,661	Observers:	PD, SP
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	10/13/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional
		Why Not Assessed:	wetland

Step 0 - Location: Segment begins at confluence with Lake Fairlee and continues until there is no more impoundment influence, which is just upstream of farm bridge.

Step 5 - Notes: Channel is impounded caused by backup flow from Lake Fairlee and beaver dams. Not assessable.

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Channel Dimensions	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Hilly	Hilly	Valley Width (ft): 725
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 309 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 88 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Middle Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.03S1.01-A**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):		2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:		2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):		2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):		Bedrock:	%	Bar:	
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):		Boulder:	%	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):		Cobble:	%	Stream Type:	E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	%	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	0.00	Fine Gravel:	%	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	0.00	Sand:	%	Bed Form:	Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio:	0.00	Silt and Smaller:	%	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:		2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:		Detritus:	%	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:		# Large Woody Debris:		Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type		
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	200.2	198.0	Dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.0	3.0	Sub-dominant:	Herbaceous	Herbaceous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy		
Lower			Revetment Length:	28.0	27.9	Canopy %:	51-75	51-75
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy:	Open	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive						

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant	
Sub-Dominant	0-25	0-25	Sub-dominant	
W less than 25	126	227	(Legacy)	
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies	
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling		

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures		
Sub-Dominant	Forest	Hay	Height		
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>	Gullies Number	0	
None	None		Gullies Length	0	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Middle Brook

Reach: R16T2.03S1.01-A

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Minimal**
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Abundant**
4.3 Flow Status: **Low**
4.4 # of Debris Jams: **0**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**
Flow Reg. Use:
Impoundments:
Impoundment Loc.:
4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**
(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**
Field Ditch: Road Ditch:
Other: Tile Drain:
Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **1**
Affected Length (ft): **2000**

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	16	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Deposition Above, Scour Above
Bridge		No	No	No	No	None

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal: **0** 5.2 Other Features Neck Cutoff: **0** 5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: **No**
Mid: **0** Delta: **0** Flood chutes: **0** Avulsion: **2** 5.5 Straightening: **None**
Point: **0** Island: **0** 5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts Head Cuts: **0** Straightening Length (ft.): **0**
Side: **0** Braiding: **0** Steep Riffles: **0** Trib Rejuv.: **No** 5.5 Dredging: **None**

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.: 6.4 Sediment Deposition: Stream Gradient Type Left Right
6.2 Pool Substrate: 6.5 Channel Flow Status: 6.8 Bank Stability:
6.3 Pool Variability: 6.6 Channel Alteration: 6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection
Total Score: 6.7 Channel Sinuosity: 6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:
Habitat Rating:
Habitat Stream Condition:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Score	STD	Historic	Geomorphic Rating
7.1 Channel Degradation				Channel Evolution Model
7.2 Channel Aggradation				Channel Evolution Stage
7.3 Widening Channel				Geomorphic Condition
7.4 Change in Planform				Stream Sensitivity
Total Score				Good



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Middle Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.03S1.01-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	3,426	Observers:	PD, SP
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	10/13/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: Segment begins where beaver dam influence ends and continues until next beaver dam just upstream of Cross River Trail Bridge.

Step 5 - Notes: Segment is located in between two areas of beaver dam influence. Numerous point bars inside meander bends and extreme planform change.

Step 7 - Narrative: Minor historic incision; aggradation is major as seen by large point bars inside meander bends. Flood chutes have developed inside point bars and two recent channel avulsions indicate extreme planform change. Major widening shown by extensive erosion.

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Channel Dimensions	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Hilly	Hilly	Valley Width (ft): 835
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Sometimes	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	Sand	Sand	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Middle Brook** Reach: **R16T2.03S1.01-B**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	29.50	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	209 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	4.00	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	N/A
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	2.52	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	N/A
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	268.50	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	5.40	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	0.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	11.71	Fine Gravel:	5.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	9.10	Sand:	83.0 %	Bed Form:	Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.35	Silt and Smaller:	12.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	High	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	197	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	1,140.1	1,397.3	Dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.0	3.0	Sub-dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	11.6	56.2	Canopy %: 51-75 51-75
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	51-100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	0-25	0-25	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	395	204	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures	67.68	130.27
Sub-Dominant	Hay	Hay	Height	8.1	11.2
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0	
	Multiple	8.8	Gullies Length	0	
	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Middle Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.03S1.01-B**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Abundant	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	2	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	2
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	1400

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	14	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Scour Above, Scour Below

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 0	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No
Mid: 1	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 19	Avulsion: 2	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point: 23	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	455
Side: 1	Braiding: 1	Steep Riffles: 0	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		12	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.40
7.2 Channel Aggradation		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		9	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	IV
7.4 Change in Planform		3	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		32			Stream Sensitivity	Extreme



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Middle Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.03S1.01-C	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,041	Observers:	PD, SP
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	10/13/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional
		Why Not Assessed:	beaver dam

Step 0 - Location: Segment begins at beaver dam just upstream of Cross River Trail bridge and continues for 1,041 feet until upstream end of reach.

Step 5 - Notes:

Step 7 - Narrative:

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Channel Dimensions	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Hilly	Valley Width (ft): 433
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Sometimes	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Middle Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.03S1.01-C**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	2.13 Average Largest Particle on
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.12 Substrate Composition	Bed:
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	Bedrock: %	Bar:
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	Boulder: %	2.14 Stream Type
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	Cobble: %	Stream Type: E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):	Coarse Gravel: %	Bed Material: Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio: 0.00	Fine Gravel: %	Subclass Slope: None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio: 0.00	Sand: %	Bed Form: Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio: 0.00	Silt and Smaller: %	Field Measured Slope:
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.: 0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type
2.9 Sinuosity:	Detritus: %	Reference Stream Type:
2.10 Riffles Type:	# Large Woody Debris:	Reference Bed Material:
		Reference Subclass Slope:
		Reference Bedform:

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks	Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u> Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>		Erosion Length (ft.):	0.0 0.0 Dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Material Type:	Mix Mix		Erosion Height (ft.):	0.0 0.0 Sub-dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive Non-cohesive		Revetment Type:	None None Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0 0.0 Canopy %: 51-75 51-75
Material Type:	Gravel Gravel			Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Non-cohesive Non-cohesive			

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100 0-25	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	51-100 >100	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	0 708	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type		Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Mixed Trees Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Forest Crop	Mass Failures
Crop Shrubs/Sapling	Height
<u>Amount</u> <u>Mean Height</u>	Gullies Number 0
None	Gullies Length 0
None	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Middle Brook

Reach: R16T2.03S1.01-C

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: Minimal

4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: Minimal

4.3 Flow Status: Low

4.4 # of Debris Jams: 0

4.5 Flow Regulation Type None

Flow Reg. Use:

Impoundments:

Impoundment Loc.:

4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: None

(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs None

Field Ditch: Road Ditch:

Other: Tile Drain:

Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:

4.9 # of Beaver Dams: 0

Affected Length (ft): 0

4.8 Channel Constrictions: None

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal:

Mid: Delta:

Point: Island:

Side: Braiding: 0

5.2 Other Features

Flood chutes: 0

5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts

Steep Riffles: 0

Neck Cutoff: 0

Avulsion: 0

Head Cuts: 0

Trib Rejuv.:

5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: No

5.5 Straightening: Straightening

Straightening Length (ft.): 11

5.5 Dredging: None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:

6.2 Pool Substrate:

6.3 Pool Variability:

Total Score:

Habitat Rating:

Habitat Stream Condition:

6.4 Sediment Deposition:

6.5 Channel Flow Status:

6.6 Channel Alteration:

6.7 Channel Sinuosity:

Stream Gradient Type

Left Right

6.8 Bank Stability:

6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection

6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type

Score

STD

Historic

7.1 Channel Degradation

7.2 Channel Aggradation

7.3 Widening Channel

7.4 Change in Planform

Total Score

Geomorphic Rating

Channel Evolution Model

Channel Evolution Stage

Geomorphic Condition

Good

Stream Sensitivity



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Middle Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.03S1.02-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	2,747	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/23/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

- Step 0 - Location: Segment begins on sharp meander bend, which is about 500 feet downstream of where left buffer becomes more wooded and continues until reach is straightened.
- Step 5 - Notes: Bankfull elevation revised on cross section on 3/8/11 to account for stronger bankfull feature, which was 0.6 feet higher than selected in the field. This higher bankfull elevation makes sense given the juvenile floodplain that is expected to form with extensive lateral bank erosion. The existing stream type was revised to "C" to take into account the numerous bars observed in the field. "E" channels by reference typically do not have bar features due to the low width to depth ratio.
- Step 7 - Narrative: Minor historic incision; stream has widened as shown by extreme bank erosion. Many flood chutes and two islands indicate planform is adjusting Process is major due to islands. Downstream (350') end of segment is influenced by beaver dam.

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Planform and Scope	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Extr.Steep	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 991
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 304 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Middle Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.03S1.02-A**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	26.40	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	120 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	3.70	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	N/A
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	2.36	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	N/A
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	315.00	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	4.90	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	E
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	0.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	11.19	Fine Gravel:	9.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	11.93	Sand:	87.0 %	Bed Form:	Dune-Ripple
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.32	Silt and Smaller:	4.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	High	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Complete	# Large Woody Debris:	5	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	1,926.9	2,063.8	Dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.2	3.1	Sub-dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	28.4	66.9	Canopy %: 51-75 76-100
Material Type:	Silt	Silt				Mid-Channel Canopy: Closed
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	
Dominant	26-50	51-100	Dominant	
Sub-Dominant	0-25	0-25	Sub-dominant	
W less than 25	802	1,092	(Legacy)	
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	
Dominant	Herbaceous	Shrubs/Sapling	Gullies	
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Herbaceous		

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Hay	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures		
Sub-Dominant	None	Pasture	Height		
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>	Gullies Number	0	
None	None		Gullies Length	0	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Middle Brook

Reach: R16T2.03S1.02-A

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Abundant	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Abundant	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	2 Road Ditch: 0
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	1	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	Up Stream	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	9.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Deposition Above, Scour Above

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 0	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 2	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No
Mid: 1	Delta: 1	Flood chutes: 8	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point: 20	Island: 2	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	459
Side: 14	Braiding: 1	Steep Riffles: 0	Trib Rejuv.: Yes	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		11	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.45
7.2 Channel Aggradation		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	IV
7.4 Change in Planforml		9	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		36			Stream Sensitivity	Extreme



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Middle Brook	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R16T2.03S1.02-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,602	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/23/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins where channel has been straightened and continues until dam just upstream of Middle Brook Road crossing.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Segment has been extensively channelized. There is a grass strip in between the crop/hay field on the left side. Extensive erosion along left bank and areas of no buffer on both banks.**

Step 7 - Narrative: **Major historic incision; channel has been extensively straightened resulting in major widening and planform change. Aggradation is minor except for large mid-channel bar in between culvert and dam.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Planform and Scope	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Extr.Steep	Very Steep	Valley Width (ft): 480
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 145 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				

1.6 Grade Controls:

Type	Location	Total Height	Total Height Above Water	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?
Dam	Mid-segment	15.0	12.0	Yes	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Middle Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.03S1.02-B**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	25.30	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	177 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.80	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	N/A
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.95	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	N/A
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	246.40	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	4.30	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	3.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	12.97	Fine Gravel:	37.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	9.74	Sand:	53.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.54	Silt and Smaller:	7.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Complete	# Large Woody Debris:	1	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	935.2	605.9	Dominant: Herbaceous Shrubs/Sapling
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.0	3.9	Sub-dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Herbaceous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	366.4	187.1	Canopy %: 26-50 51-75
Material Type:	Sand	Sand				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	
Dominant	0-25	26-50	Dominant	
Sub-Dominant	26-50	0-25	Sub-dominant	
W less than 25	1,229	953	(Legacy)	
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies	
Sub-Dominant	None	Shrubs/Sapling		

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Hay	Hay	Mass Failures		
Sub-Dominant	Crop	Residential	Height		
Amount		<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0	
None			Gullies Length	0	
None					



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Middle Brook**

Reach: **R16T2.03S1.02-B**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	None	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	Small Run of River	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	None	Flow Reg. Use:	Recreation	Field Ditch:	0 Road Ditch: 1
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Instream Culvert	11.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Deposition Above, Scour Below

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 1	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No
Mid: 2	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 2	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point: 1	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	1,518
Side: 9	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 0	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		8	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.46
7.2 Channel Aggradation		13	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		9	Other	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		7	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		37			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report **Ompompanoosuc**

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R17-0	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	6,824	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	8/31/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Reach begins just upstream of confluence with Lake Fairlee outlet and continues until about 1,300 feet downstream of Cross Road crossing.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Material on bed too small to measure embeddedness. There are many debris jams, which are most likely from trees that fell in from banks due to widening and bank erosion.**

Constriction width for Route 244 Bridge is the distance between center pier and right bank rip-rap. There is a large side bar in between the center pier and the left bank rip-rap where bankfull flows probably do not flow over the top.

Step 7 - Narrative: **Historic major degradation has led to extreme aggradation as seen through many diagonal bars and steep riffles. Extreme widening and planform adjustment are also occurring. There are many debris jams from trees that entered the channel from the banks most likely as a result of the widening. Multiple thread channels and many flood chutes.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation:	None	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan:	None	Hillside Slope:	Extr.Steep	Very Steep	Valley Width (ft): 682
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:		Continuous w/ Bank:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u>	<u>One</u>	<u>Height</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Height</u>	Confinement Type: VB
Berm:	0		0		In Rock Gorge: No
Road:	0		0		Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad:	0		0		
Imp. Path:	0		0		
Dev.:	887		411		
1.6 Grade Controls:	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R17-0

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	54.80	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	190 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	4.40	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	1.6 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	3.02	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	1.5 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	587.50	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	7.50	Cobble:	0.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	21.0 %	Bed Material:	Sand
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	18.15	Fine Gravel:	24.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	10.72	Sand:	48.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.70	Silt and Smaller:	7.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Moderate	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	201	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	3,237.2	3,477.8	Dominant: Herbaceous Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	5.7	5.4	Sub-dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	45.8	290.2	Canopy %: 26-50 26-50
Material Type:	Clay	Clay				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Cohesive	Cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	0-25	0-25	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	1,291	1,928	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Mass Failures	125.99	
Sub-dominant	Residential	Residential	Height	37.3	
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0	
	Multiple	37.5	Gullies Length	0	
	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R17-0

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Abundant	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	4	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	36	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Deposition Above, Deposition Below, Alignment

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types		Diagonal:	10	5.2 Other Features		Neck Cutoff:	1	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:		No
Mid:	11	Delta:	0	Flood chutes:	15	Avulsion:	3	5.5 Straightening:		Straightening
Point:	19	Island:	0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts		Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.):		575
Side:	19	Braiding:	1	Steep Riffles:	13	Trib Rejuv.:	Yes	5.5 Dredging:		None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:	
Habitat Rating:	0.00			
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		8	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.22
7.2 Channel Aggradation		3	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		4	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	IV
7.4 Change in Planform		3	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Poor
Total Score		18			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report **Ompompanoosuc**

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R18-0	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	5,372	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	8/25/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Reach begins about 1,300 feet downstream of Cross Road crossing and continues until confluence with Schoolhouse Brook**

Step 5 - Notes: **No subdominant bedform. Reach is very aggradational. Stage is F-III in some places and F-IV in others where there was a juvenile floodplain developing, but segmentation was not appropriate because the stage alternated back and forth. Two cross sections were done to describe these two scenarios. The majority of the reach was in stage F-IV.**

Step 7 - Narrative: **Extreme historic incision which has led to major widening, aggradation and planform change. Abundant erosion, diagonal bars, and flood chutes. At times reach has juvenile flood plain and in early stage F-IV and alternates to F-III with no juvenile floodplain. Cross section #2 represents dominant evolution stage which is F-IV. Cross section #1 represents the areas in F-III. There has been a stream type departure from "C" to a "B" due to the historic incision.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: None	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Very Steep	Valley Width (ft): 597
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Sometimes	Never	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 0	Texture:	Sand	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0				
Imp. Path: 0				
Dev.: 167				

1.6 Grade Controls: **None**



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R18-0

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	64.50	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	218 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.60	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	8.9 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.78	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	5.2 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	114.00	Boulder:	1.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	7.20	Cobble:	32.0 %	Stream Type:	B
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	45.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	36.24	Fine Gravel:	17.0 %	Subclass Slope:	c
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	1.77	Sand:	5.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	2.77	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Moderate	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	45	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep				
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u>			<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	1,716.1	2,767.4	Dominant:	Deciduous	Herbaceous	
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	5.2	5.3	Sub-dominant:	Herbaceous	Deciduous	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy			
Lower			Revetment Length:	283.2	395.9	Canopy %:	76-100	26-50	
Material Type:	Gravel	Gravel				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open			
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive							

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant	Forest	Forest
Sub-Dominant	0-25	0-25	Sub-dominant	Crop	Hay
W less than 25	154	978	(Legacy)	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Height</u>
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	None	
Dominant	Deciduous	Deciduous	Gullies	None	
Sub-Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous			

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Mass Failures					
Height					
Gullies Number	0				
Gullies Length	0				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R18-0

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Abundant	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Abundant	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	1 Road Ditch: 0
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	1 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	84	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Deposition Above, Deposition Below, Scour Above, Scour Below, Alignment

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	17	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No
Mid:	2	Delta:	1	Flood chutes:	14	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point:	6	Island:	0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	Straightening Length (ft.): 3,659
Side:	18	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	17	Trib Rejuv.:	Yes
						5.5 Dredging:	Gravel Mining

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:		6.4 Sediment Deposition:		Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:		6.5 Channel Flow Status:		6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:		6.6 Channel Alteration:		6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:		6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:	0.00					
Habitat Stream Condition:						

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		3	C to B	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.30
7.2 Channel Aggradation		6	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		7	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	IV
7.4 Change in Planform		8	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Poor
Total Score		24			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report **Ompompanoosuc**

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R19-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	925	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/28/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

- Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins just upstream of confluence with Schoolhouse Brook and continues for 925 feet until there is no longer floodplain access.**
- Step 5 - Notes: **Reach has been straightened for farm along field. Reach was segmented due to channel dimensions. In this segment the channel is starting to create a juvenile floodplain. Left valley wall measurement was taken as the drainage divide between the mainstem and the small tributary that enters at the bottom of the reach.**
- Step 7 - Narrative: **Major historic incision has resulted in major widening and aggradation. Planform features are present but process still seems minor. Segmented due to channel dimensions; "C" type stream. Starting to create juvenile floodplain and is in late stage III.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Channel Dimensions	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Hilly	Flat	Valley Width (ft): 282
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: NW
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: No
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 0 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R19-A

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	41.00	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	150 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.65	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	6.1 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.99	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	4.7 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	140.00	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	5.15	Cobble:	28.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	29.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	20.60	Fine Gravel:	14.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	3.41	Sand:	29.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.94	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	Yes	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	10	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep				
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u>			<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	613.5	223.1	Dominant:	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.0	3.4	Sub-dominant:	Deciduous	Deciduous	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	None	None	Bank Canopy			
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0	0.0	Canopy %:	1-25	51-75	
Material Type:	Gravel	Gravel				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open			
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive							

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	0-25	>100	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	26-50	51-100	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	762	0	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Mixed Trees	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Herbaceous	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Hay	Forest	Mass Failures		
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Height		
W less than 25	Amount	Mean Height	Gullies Number	0	
Buffer Vegetation Type	None		Gullies Length	0	
Dominant	None				
Sub-Dominant					



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R19-A

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: **Minimal**

4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: **Minimal**

4.3 Flow Status: **Low**

4.4 # of Debris Jams: **0**

4.5 Flow Regulation Type **None**

Flow Reg. Use:

Impoundments:

Impoundment Loc.:

4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: **None**

(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:

4.7 Stormwater Inputs **None**

Field Ditch: Road Ditch:

Other: Tile Drain:

Overland Flow: Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:

4.9 # of Beaver Dams: **0**

Affected Length (ft): **0**

4.8 Channel Constrictions: **None**

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types Diagonal: **2**

Mid: **1** Delta: **0**

Point: **2** Island: **0**

Side: **2** Braiding: **0**

5.2 Other Features

Flood chutes: **1**

5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts

Steep Riffles: **1**

Neck Cutoff: **0**

Avulsion: **0**

Head Cuts: **0**

Trib Rejuv.: **No**

5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: **No**

5.5 Straightening: **Straightening**

Straightening Length (ft.): **614**

5.6 Dredging: **None**

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:

6.2 Pool Substrate:

6.3 Pool Variability:

Total Score: **0**

Habitat Rating: **0.00**

Habitat Stream Condition:

6.4 Sediment Deposition:

6.5 Channel Flow Status:

6.6 Channel Alteration:

6.7 Channel Sinuosity:

Stream Gradient Type

Left Right

6.8 Bank Stability:

6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection

6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type

Unconfined

Score

STD

Historic

7.1 Channel Degradation

7

None

Yes

Geomorphic Rating

0.43

7.2 Channel Aggradation

8

None

No

Channel Evolution Model

F

7.3 Widening Channel

7

None

No

Channel Evolution Stage

III

7.4 Change in Planform

12

None

No

Geomorphic Condition

Fair

Total Score

34

Stream Sensitivity

Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R19-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	5,236	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	9/28/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

- Step 0 - Location: Segment begins about 650 feet downstream of Mill Street crossing and continues until valley narrows just upstream of confluence with unnamed tributary..
- Step 5 - Notes: Segment appears to have been pushed up against valley wall. Dam grade control in reach is creating flow impacts and holding back sediment. The impact from the dam was rather short lived (maybe about 200 feet) and did not seem like a long enough impounded area to warrant an entirely new segment. Stream type departure due to extreme historic incision.
- Step 7 - Narrative: Extreme historic incision leading to a stream type departure from a "C" to a "B". Major aggradation as seen by steep riffles and diagonal bars. Major widening and planform adjustment with many flood chutes and diagonal bars.

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Channel Dimensions	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Extr.Steep	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 439
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Confinement Type: BD
Berm: 0 0	Texture:	Sand	Sand	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 1,093 576				

1.6 Grade Controls:

Type	Location	Total Height	Total Height Above Water	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	1.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	1.0	0.0	Yes	
Dam	Mid-segment	7.0	4.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	5.0	4.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	1.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	2.0	Yes	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R19-B

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	41.80	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	138 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	3.20	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	7.2 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	2.42	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	3.8 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	59.20	Boulder:	1.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	8.30	Cobble:	26.0 %	Stream Type:	B
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	49.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	17.27	Fine Gravel:	17.0 %	Subclass Slope:	c
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	1.42	Sand:	7.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	2.59	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	38	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type		
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	1,640.3	2,410.6	Dominant:	Herbaceous	Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.0	5.0	Sub-dominant:	Deciduous	Deciduous
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Multiple	Multiple	Bank Canopy		
Lower			Revetment Length:	1,353.8	826.5	Canopy %:	51-75	26-50
Material Type:	Gravel	Gravel				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open		
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive						

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	Left	Right	Corridor Land	Left	Right
Dominant	>100	0-25	Dominant	Forest	Residential
Sub-Dominant	0-25	26-50	Sub-dominant	Pasture	Hay
W less than 25	1,909	2,459	(Legacy)	Amount	Mean Height
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	Multiple	12.5
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies	None	
Sub-Dominant	Mixed Trees	Mixed Trees			

3.3 Riparian Corridor

Corridor Land	Left	Right	Mass Failures	45.43
Dominant	Forest	Residential	Height	12.3
Sub-dominant	Pasture	Hay	Gullies Number	0
(Legacy)	Amount	Mean Height	Gullies Length	0
Failures	Multiple	12.5		
Gullies	None			



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R19-B

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	Small Run of River	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Minimal	Flow Reg. Use:	Other	Field Ditch:	0 Road Ditch: 2
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	1
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	100

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	26	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Scour Above, Scour Below
Other	39	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Deposition Above, Deposition Below, Scour Above, Scour Below
Bridge	57	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Scour Above, Alignment
Bedrock Outcrops	13.8	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Deposition Above, Scour Below

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 10	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No
Mid: 1	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 5	Avulsion: 1	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point: 3	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	4,242
Side: 24	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 7	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	Left	Right
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		4	C to B	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.35
7.2 Channel Aggradation		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		8	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		28			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River
Reach: R20-A
Segment Length(ft): 1,396
Rain: No

SGAT Version: 4.56
Organization: Bear Creek Environmental
Observers: MN, PD
Completion Date: 7/21/2010
Quality Control Status - Consultant: Passed
Quality Control Status - Staff: Provisional

Step 0 - Location: Segment begins where valley begins to narrow upstream from West Fairlee Village and then continues until just downstream of private bridge crossing.

Step 5 - Notes: Segment has some short riffles but no subdominant bedform. Not plane bed.

Step 7 - Narrative: Extreme degradation with a stream type departure; major active widening but large trees and riprap reducing stream widening. Channel evolution is early stage III.

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Depositional Features	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Extr.Steep	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 433
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Never	Confinement Type: BD
Berm: 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 597 0 0	Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes			
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 623 0				

1.6 Grade Controls:

Type	Location	Total Height	Total Height Above Water	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	1.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	0.0	Yes	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R20-A

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	44.00	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	153 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	3.05	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	13.2 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	2.21	Bedrock:	5.0 %	Bar:	3.18 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	70.50	Boulder:	12.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	5.85	Cobble:	18.0 %	Stream Type:	B
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	33.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	19.91	Fine Gravel:	17.0 %	Subclass Slope:	c
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	1.60	Sand:	15.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.92	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Eroded	# Large Woody Debris:	15	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks				Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type	<u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	560.9	530.3	Dominant:	Deciduous Deciduous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.1	3.1	Sub-dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Multiple	Multiple	Bank Canopy	
Lower			Revetment Length:	430.1	222.5	Canopy %:	51-75 51-75
Material Type:	Mix	Mix				Mid-Channel Canopy:	Open
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive					

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	26-50	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	0-25	0-25	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	485	1,008	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Residential	Mass Failures	
Sub-Dominant	Forest	Commercial	Height	
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0
None	None		Gullies Length	0



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R20-A

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	None	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	0 Road Ditch: 1
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Old Abutment	18	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Scour Above, Scour Below
Old Abutment	23.5	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Scour Above, Scour Below
Bridge	40	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	None

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 0	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	Yes
Mid: 0	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 0	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point: 0	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	1,386
Side: 8	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 0	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	Left	Right
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		4	C to B	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.54
7.2 Channel Aggradation		17	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		9	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		13	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		43			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report **Ompompanoosuc**

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R20-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	2,416	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	7/23/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins just downstream of center private bridge and continues until about 900 feet upstream of next private bridge where stream gets very close to Route 113.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Segment is more aggradational than downstream segment, but diagonal bars are minor (less than 1/2 bankfull stage). Lack of sinuosity. Some areas of riffle-run-riffle, probably due to lack of planform. Cross section was near the cut-off between a "C" and a "B" channel. A "C" channel seems more characteristic of the overall segment. It is possible that the bankfull elevation is 0.6 feet higher than was identified in the field, but woody vegetation observed indicated bankfull was likely at the lower elevation.**

Step 7 - Narrative: **Major historic degradation caused widening and now segment is aggrading thru the presence of diagonal bars (diagonal bars are minor; less than 1/2 bankfull stage). Rip rap is preventing planform adjustment and further widening.**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Depositional Features	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Very Steep	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 355
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Sometimes	Sometimes	Confinement Type: BD
Berm: 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 1,390 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 1,545 0				

1.6 Grade Controls:

Type	Location	Total Height	Total Height Above Water	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	0.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	1.0	0.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	2.0	0.0	Yes	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R20-B

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	42.50	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	156 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.85	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	5.34 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	2.27	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	2.2 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	88.00	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	5.05	Cobble:	6.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	44.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	18.72	Fine Gravel:	13.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	2.07	Sand:	37.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.77	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Complete	# Large Woody Debris:	15	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks			Typical Bank Slope:	Steep		
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u> <u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	344.1	737.5	Dominant: Shrubs/Sapling Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.9	2.9	Sub-dominant: Deciduous Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy
Lower			Revetment Length:	170.8	648.0	Canopy %: 51-75 26-50
Material Type:	Sand	Sand				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive				

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land
Dominant	>100	0-25	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	51-100	26-50	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	337	1,721	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Mixed Trees	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Forest	Residential	Mass Failures	42.12	
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	Height	15.0	
W less than 25	Amount	Mean Height	Gullies Number	0	
Buffer Vegetation Type	One	15.0	Gullies Length	0	
Dominant	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R20-B

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Minimal	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	1 Road Ditch: 0
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	29.7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Deposition Above
Bridge	42	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	None

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 4	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	Yes
Mid: 1	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 0	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point: 0	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	2,130
Side: 9	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 2	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	Left	Right
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		7	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.51
7.2 Channel Aggradation		12	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		9	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		13	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		41			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R21-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	4,292	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	7/21/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

- Step 0 - Location: Segment begins where valley gets wider and river gets close to Route 113. It continues until just above confluence with second tributary that enters from the west.
- Step 5 - Notes: Removal of abutment is not high priority; is causing localized geomorphic impact. Created nice rank 7 pool. A few large trees on left bank.
- Step 7 - Narrative: Major historic degradation resulting in widening and major aggradation; planform change due to 55 percent straightening where the river was pushed up against the valley wall for agricultural uses.

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation:	Depositional Features	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan:	None	Hillside Slope:	Extr.Steep	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 651
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:		Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Sometimes	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u>	<u>One</u>	<u>Height</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W: Never Sometimes
Berm:	0		0		Confinement Type: VB
Road:	1,272		0		In Rock Gorge: No
Railroad:	0		0		Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Imp. Path:	0		0		
Dev.:	424		0		
1.6 Grade Controls:	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R21-A

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	37.50	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	159 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	3.10	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	5.96 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.95	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	2.38 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	329.50	Boulder:	0.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	4.80	Cobble:	17.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	51.0 %	Bed Material:	Gravel
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	19.23	Fine Gravel:	14.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	8.79	Sand:	18.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.55	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Moderate	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	22	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep			
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type		
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	1,246.9	1,289.0	Dominant:	Herbaceous	Herbaceous
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	3.5	4.5	Sub-dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Rip-Rap	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy		
Lower			Revetment Length:	301.4	562.9	Canopy %:	26-50	26-50
Material Type:	Gravel	Gravel				Mid-Channel Canopy: Open		
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive						

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	Left	Right	Corridor Land
Dominant	0-25	0-25	Dominant
Sub-Dominant	>100	51-100	Sub-dominant
W less than 25	3,529	2,297	(Legacy)
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling	

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	Left	Right		Left	Right
Dominant	Hay	Hay	Mass Failures		75.56
Sub-Dominant	Forest	Residential	Height		16.3
Amount		Mean Hieght	Gullies Number	0	
Multiple		16.0	Gullies Length	0	
None					



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R21-A

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	Minimal	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	0 Road Ditch: 2
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Old Abutment	23.5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Deposition Below, Scour Above, Scour Below, Alignment

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 12	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	Yes
Mid: 0	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 2	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening:	Straightening
Point: 10	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	2,348
Side: 15	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 8	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	Left	Right
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		9	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.39
7.2 Channel Aggradation		6	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planform		8	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		31			Stream Sensitivity	Very High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R21-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,066	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	7/28/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

- Step 0 - Location: Segment begins about 750 feet downstream of Route 113 crossing and continues until just above tributary confluence upstream of Route 113 crossing.
- Step 5 - Notes: Stream type departure from a "C" to a "F" due to entrenchment. More fines present downstream of cross section. A lot of old rip rap in channel where pebble count was done. Trees are planted in buffer as part of a WHIP project about three years ago.
- Step 7 - Narrative: Extreme historic incision with stream type departure from "C" to "F" due to entrenchment - now a transport reach. Active major widening, minor planform and aggradation. Trees planted in buffer. Trees on banks and riprap maintaining Stage III.

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation:	Depositional Features	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan:	None	Hillside Slope:	Extr.Steep	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 500
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:		Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Never	Width Determination: Estimated
<u>Length (ft)</u>	<u>One</u>	<u>Height</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W: Sometimes
Berm:	0		0		Texture: N.E.
Road:	177	0	280	0	N.E.
Railroad:	0		0		Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Imp. Path:	0		0		
Dev.:	484		0		
1.6 Grade Controls:	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R21-B

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	42.20	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	170 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.90	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	5.2 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	2.09	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	2.38 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	56.70	Boulder:	6.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	6.40	Cobble:	48.0 %	Stream Type:	F
Human Elev FloodPln (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	27.0 %	Bed Material:	Cobble
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	20.19	Fine Gravel:	11.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	1.34	Sand:	8.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	2.21	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	3	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep				
Bank Texture		Bank Erosion		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	387.1	280.4	Dominant:	Deciduous	Herbaceous	
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.6	3.1	Sub-dominant:	Shrubs/Sapling	Deciduous	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Multiple	Multiple	Bank Canopy			
Lower			Revetment Length:	104.8	170.4	Canopy %:	51-75	51-75	
Material Type:	Mix	Mix				Mid-Channel Canopy:		Open	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive							

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	
Dominant	26-50	26-50	Dominant	
Sub-Dominant	0-25	0-25	Sub-dominant	
W less than 25	298	58	(Legacy)	
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies	
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Shrubs/Sapling		

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Hay	Hay	Mass Failures		
Sub-dominant	Residential	Residential	Height		
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0	
Failures	None		Gullies Length		
Gullies	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: Ompompanoosuc River

Reach: R21-B

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	Minimal	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	None	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	25	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	None

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 2	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No
Mid: 0	Delta: 2	Flood chutes: 0	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening:	With Windrowing
Point: 1	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.):	1,052
Side: 6	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 1	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging:	None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	Left	Right
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		3	C to F	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.47
7.2 Channel Aggradation		14	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		9	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	III
7.4 Change in Planforml		12	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		38			Stream Sensitivity	Extreme



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report Ompompanoosuc

Page 1

Stream:	Tributary 3 to Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R18T3.01-A	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	1,682	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	Yes	Completion Date:	8/11/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: Segment begins at confluence with Ompompanoosuc River and continues until just downstream of Route 113 crossing

Step 5 - Notes: No subdominant bedform. Less entrenched than upstream segment.

Step 7 - Narrative: Major aggradation, widening and extreme planform adjustment. Many diagonal bars and steep riffles present. High bars greater than 1/2 bankfull in many locations.

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Channel Dimensions	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Flat	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 407
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Sometimes	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Sometimes	Confinement Type: VB
Berm: 77 0 0	Texture:	N.E.	N.E.	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 148 0				
1.6 Grade Controls: None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Tributary 3 to Ompompanoosuc River** Reach: **R18T3.01-A**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	44.70	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	116 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.20	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	8.4 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	1.67	Bedrock:	0.0 %	Bar:	3.7 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	443.00	Boulder:	7.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	4.30	Cobble:	52.0 %	Stream Type:	C
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	29.0 %	Bed Material:	Cobble
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	26.77	Fine Gravel:	8.0 %	Subclass Slope:	None
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	9.91	Sand:	4.0 %	Bed Form:	Riffle-Pool
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.95	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Moderate	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Sedimented	# Large Woody Debris:	58	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep				
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u>			<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	809.1	529.8	Dominant:	Deciduous	Deciduous	
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.7	6.6	Sub-dominant:	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	None	Rip-Rap	Bank Canopy			
Lower			Revetment Length:	0.0	303.9	Canopy %:	76-100	76-100	
Material Type:	Gravel	Gravel				Mid-Channel Canopy:		Open	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive							

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	
Dominant	>100	>100	Dominant	
Sub-Dominant	51-100	0-25	Sub-dominant	
W less than 25	0	105	(Legacy)	
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	
Dominant	Mixed Trees	Shrubs/Sapling	Gullies	
Sub-Dominant	Shrubs/Sapling	Mixed Trees		

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Forest	Forest	Mass Failures		
Sub-Dominant	Residential	Residential	Height		
Amount		<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0	
Failures	None		Gullies Length	0	
Gullies	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Tributary 3 to Ompompanoosuc River** Reach: **R18T3.01-A**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps:	None	4.5 Flow Regulation Type	None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs	None
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands:	None	Flow Reg. Use:		Field Ditch:	Road Ditch:
4.3 Flow Status:	Low	Impoundments:		Other:	Tile Drain:
4.4 # of Debris Jams:	0	Impoundment Loc.:		Overland Flow:	Urb Strm Wtr Pipe:
		4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.:	None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams:	0
		(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:		Affected Length (ft):	0
4.8 Channel Constrictions:	None				

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal:	8	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff:	0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing:	No	
Mid:	4	Delta:	0	Flood chutes:	5	Avulsion:	1	
Point:	7	Island:	0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts:	0	5.5 Straightening:	With Windrowing
Side:	5	Braiding:	0	Steep Riffles:	12	Trib Rejuv.:	No	
						5.5 Dredging:	None	

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:		6.4 Sediment Deposition:		Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:		6.5 Channel Flow Status:		6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:		6.6 Channel Alteration:		6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score:	0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:		6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating:	0.00					
Habitat Stream Condition:						

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		6	None	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.31
7.2 Channel Aggradation		6	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		8	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	IV
7.4 Change in Planform		5	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Poor
Total Score		25			Stream Sensitivity	High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report **Ompompanoosuc**

Page 1

Stream:	Tributary 3 to Ompompanoosuc River	SGAT Version:	4.56
Reach:	R18T3.01-B	Organization:	Bear Creek Environmental
Segment Length(ft):	625	Observers:	MN, PD
Rain:	No	Completion Date:	8/11/2010
		Quality Control Status - Consultant:	Passed
		Quality Control Status - Staff:	Provisional

Step 0 - Location: **Segment begins just downstream of Route 113 crossing and continues until about 450 feet downstream of Back Street crossing.**

Step 5 - Notes: **Segment has been encroached upon by Back Street. Perhaps some filling associated with residential development on west bank. 100% straightened. Not clear of natural large boulders versus riprap. Some evidence of berming (windrowing) at top of segment.**

Step 7 - Narrative: **Major historic incision; wideing is minimal due to boulders at toe (may be riprap or natural).**

Step 1. Valley and Floodplain

1.1 Segmentation: Channel Dimensions	1.4 Adjacent Side	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	1.5 Valley Features
1.2 Alluvial Fan: None	Hillside Slope:	Flat	Extr.Steep	Valley Width (ft): 255
1.3 Corridor Encroachments:	Continuous w/ Bank:	Never	Sometimes	Width Determination: Measured
<u>Length (ft)</u> <u>One</u> <u>Height</u> <u>Both</u> <u>Height</u>	Within 1 Bankfull W:	Never	Sometimes	Confinement Type: BD
Berm: 52 6 0	Texture:	N.E.	Sand	In Rock Gorge: No
Road: 524 0 0				Human Caused Change in Valley Width?: Yes
Railroad: 0 0				
Imp. Path: 0 0				
Dev.: 89 343				

1.6 Grade Controls:

Type	Location	Total Height	Total Height Above Water	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?
Ledge	Mid-segment	1.0	0.0	Yes	
Ledge	Mid-segment	3.0	1.0	Yes	



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page 2

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Tributary 3 to Ompompanoosuc River** Reach: **R18T3.01-B**

Step 2. Stream Channel

2.1 Bankfull Width (ft.):	42.80	2.11 Riffle/Step Spacing:	135 ft.	2.13 Average Largest Particle on	
2.2 Max Depth (ft.):	2.90	2.12 Substrate Composition		Bed:	10.2 inches
2.3 Mean Depth (ft.):	2.09	Bedrock:	5.0 %	Bar:	5.2 inches
2.4 Floodprone Width (ft.):	90.80	Boulder:	19.0 %	2.14 Stream Type	
2.5 Aband. Floodpn (ft.):	5.10	Cobble:	43.0 %	Stream Type:	B
Human Elev FloodPIn (ft.):		Coarse Gravel:	18.0 %	Bed Material:	Cobble
2.6 Width/Depth Ratio:	20.48	Fine Gravel:	8.0 %	Subclass Slope:	c
2.7 Entrenchment Ratio:	2.12	Sand:	7.0 %	Bed Form:	Plane Bed
2.8 Incision Ratio:	1.76	Silt and Smaller:	0.0 %	Field Measured Slope:	
Human Elevated Inc. Rat.:	0.00	Silt/Clay Present:	No	2.15 Sub-reach Stream Type	
2.9 Sinuosity:	Low	Detritus:	0.0 %	Reference Stream Type:	
2.10 Riffles Type:	Eroded	# Large Woody Debris:	2	Reference Bed Material:	
				Reference Subclass Slope:	
				Reference Bedform:	

Step 3. Riparian Features

3.1 Stream Banks					Typical Bank Slope: Steep				
Bank Texture			Bank Erosion	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Near Bank Vegetation Type <u>Left</u>			<u>Right</u>
Upper	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Erosion Length (ft.):	90.0	85.4	Dominant:	Deciduous	Herbaceous	
Material Type:	Sand	Sand	Erosion Height (ft.):	4.5	12.0	Sub-dominant:	Herbaceous	Deciduous	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive	Revetment Type:	Multiple	Multiple	Bank Canopy			
Lower			Revetment Length:	155.6	245.2	Canopy %:	51-75	51-75	
Material Type:	Mix	Mix				Mid-Channel Canopy:		Open	
Consistency:	Non-cohesive	Non-cohesive							

3.2 Riparian Buffer

Buffer Width	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>	Corridor Land	
Dominant	51-100	0-25	Dominant	
Sub-Dominant	0-25	26-50	Sub-dominant	
W less than 25	378	215	(Legacy)	
Buffer Vegetation Type			Failures	
Dominant	Herbaceous	Herbaceous	Gullies	
Sub-Dominant	Deciduous	Shrubs/Sapling		

3.3 Riparian Corridor

	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>		<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
Dominant	Residential	Residential	Mass Failures		
Sub-Dominant	Forest	None	Height		
Amount	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Mean Hieght</u>	Gullies Number	0	
Failures	None		Gullies Length	0	
Gullies	None				



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Page3

Phase 2 Segment Summary Report

Ompompanoosuc

Stream: **Tributary 3 to Ompompanoosuc River** Reach: **R18T3.01-B**

Step 4. Flow & Flow Modifiers

4.1 Springs / Seeps: None	4.5 Flow Regulation Type None	4.7 Stormwater Inputs
4.2 Adjacent Wetlands: None	Flow Reg. Use:	Field Ditch: 0 Road Ditch: 3
4.3 Flow Status: Low	Impoundments:	Other: 0 Tile Drain: 0
4.4 # of Debris Jams: 0	Impoundment Loc.:	Overland Flow: 0 Urb Strm Wtr Pipe: 0
	4.6 Up/Down Strm flow reg.: None	4.9 # of Beaver Dams: 0
	(old) Upstrm Flow Reg.:	Affected Length (ft): 0

4.8 Channel Constrictions:

Type	Width	Photo Taken?	GPS Taken?	Channel Constriction?	Floodprone Constriction?	Problems
Bridge	60	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Deposition Above, Deposition Below, Scour Above, Alignment

Step 5. Channel Bed and Planform Changes

5.1 Bar Types	Diagonal: 1	5.2 Other Features	Neck Cutoff: 0	5.4 Stream Ford or Animal Crossing: No
Mid: 0	Delta: 0	Flood chutes: 0	Avulsion: 0	5.5 Straightening: With Windrowing
Point: 0	Island: 0	5.3 Steep Riffles and Head Cuts	Head Cuts: 0	Straightening Length (ft.): 617
Side: 5	Braiding: 0	Steep Riffles: 1	Trib Rejuv.: No	5.5 Dredging: None

Step 6. Rapid Habitat Assessment Data

6.1 Epifaunal Substrate - Avl.:	6.4 Sediment Deposition:	Stream Gradient Type	<u>Left</u>	<u>Right</u>
6.2 Pool Substrate:	6.5 Channel Flow Status:	6.8 Bank Stability:		
6.3 Pool Variability:	6.6 Channel Alteration:	6.9 Bank Vegetation Protection		
Total Score: 0	6.7 Channel Sinuosity:	6.10 Riparian Veg. Zone Width:		
Habitat Rating: 0.00				
Habitat Stream Condition:				

Step 7. Rapid Geomorphic Assessment Data

Confinement Type	Unconfined	Score	STD	Historic		
7.1 Channel Degradation		8	C to B	Yes	Geomorphic Rating	0.61
7.2 Channel Aggradation		13	None	No	Channel Evolution Model	F
7.3 Widening Channel		14	None	No	Channel Evolution Stage	II
7.4 Change in Planform		14	None	No	Geomorphic Condition	Fair
Total Score		49			Stream Sensitivity	High



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov
March, 09 2011

Phase 2 - Stream Geometry Data

Ompompanoosuc

		Phase 2 Stream Type						Phase 1 Data			Phase 2 Channel Data													
Reach	Seg- ment	Stream Type	Bed Material	Bedform	Subcl. Slope	Sub Rch?	Channel Slope	Channel Width	Bankfull Width	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Floodpr Width	Recnt Abandn Fldpln	Width Depth Ratio	Entrench- ment Ratio	Incision Ratio	Channel Evolution Stage	Channel Evolution Model	Geo Assess Condition	Hab Assess Condition	QC Staff	QC Auto		
R15	A	B	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	c	No	0.21		54	4	3.14	75.8	8.8	17.20	1.40	2.20			Fair		P	F		
R15	B	B	Cobble	Step-Pool	None	Yes	0.21							0.00	0.00	0.00			Good		P	F		
R16	0	C	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.36		57	4.9	3.61	298	6.4	15.79	5.23	1.31	III	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.01	0	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.30							0.00	0.00	0.00			Good		P	F		
R16T2.02	0	C	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.31		49.5	3.8	2.97	131	4.6	16.67	2.65	1.21	III	F	Good		P	P		
R16T2.04	A	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.51	11.2						0.00	0.00	0.00			Good		P	F		
R16T2.04	B	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.51	11.2	11.2	2.5	1.79	575	3.8	6.26	51.34	1.52	III	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.05	A	C	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.71		26.2	3	1.16	229.2	5.3	22.59	8.75	1.77	IV	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.05	B	C	Sand	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.71		27.2	2.9	1.46	234	5.3	18.63	8.60	1.83	III	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.05	C	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.71							0.00	0.00	0.00			Good		P	F		
R16T2.05	D	E	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.71		10.4	1.8	1.38	108.7	2.6	7.54	10.45	1.44	III	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.05	E	E	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.71							0.00	0.00	0.00			Fair		P	F		
R16T2.06	A	E	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	None	No	2.29	11	5.2	2	0.94	160.5	3.2	5.53	30.87	1.60	II	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.06	B	E	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	None	No	2.29	11	10.7	1.8	1.07	244	2.8	10.00	22.80	1.56	II	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.06	C	C	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	b	Yes	2.29	11	11	1.9	0.95	57.5	1.9	11.58	5.23	1.00	I	F	Good		P	P		
R16T2.06	D	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	2.29	11						0.00	0.00	0.00			Reference		P	F		
R16T2.03S1.0 1	A	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.11	29.5						0.00	0.00	0.00			Good		P	F		
R16T2.03S1.0 1	B	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.11	29.5	29.5	4	2.52	268.5	5.4	11.71	9.10	1.35	IV	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.03S1.0 1	C	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.11	29.5						0.00	0.00	0.00			Good		P	F		
R16T2.03S1.0 2	A	E	Sand	Dune-Ripple	None	No	0.23	22.1	26.4	3.7	2.36	315	4.9	11.19	11.93	1.32	IV	F	Fair		P	P		
R16T2.03S1.0 2	B	C	Sand	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.23	22.1	25.3	2.8	1.95	246.4	4.3	12.97	9.74	1.54	III	F	Fair		P	P		



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Reach	Segment	Stream Type	Bed Material	Bedform	Subcl. Slope	Sub Rch?	Channel Slope	Channel Width	Bankfull Width	Max Depth	Mean Depth	Floodpr Width	Recnt Abandn Fldpin	Width Depth Ratio	Entrenchment Ratio	Incision Ratio	Channel Evolution Stage	Channel Evolution Model	Geo Assess Condition	Hab Assess Condition	QC Staff	QC Auto
R17	0	C	Sand	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.37		54.8	4.4	3.02	587.5	7.5	18.15	10.72	1.70	IV	F	Poor		P	P
R18	0	B	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	c	No	0.37		64.5	2.6	1.78	114	7.2	36.24	1.77	2.77	IV	F	Poor		P	P
R19	A	C	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.65		41	2.65	1.99	140	5.15	20.60	3.41	1.94	III	F	Fair		P	P
R19	B	B	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	c	No	0.65		41.8	3.2	2.42	59.2	8.3	17.27	1.42	2.59	III	F	Fair		P	P
R20	A	B	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	c	No	0.47		44	3.05	2.21	70.5	5.85	19.91	1.60	1.92	III	F	Fair		P	P
R20	B	C	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.47		42.5	2.85	2.27	88	5.05	18.72	2.07	1.77	III	F	Fair		P	P
R21	A	C	Gravel	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.50		37.5	3.1	1.95	329.5	4.8	19.23	8.79	1.55	III	F	Fair		P	P
R21	B	F	Cobble	Riffle-Pool	None	No	0.50		42.2	2.9	2.09	56.7	6.4	20.19	1.34	2.21	III	F	Fair		P	P
R18T3.01	A	C	Cobble	Riffle-Pool	None	No	1.52		44.7	2.2	1.67	443	4.3	26.77	9.91	1.95	IV	F	Poor		P	P
R18T3.01	B	B	Cobble	Plane Bed	c	No	1.52		42.8	2.9	2.09	90.8	5.1	20.48	2.12	1.76	II	F	Fair		P	P



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Phase 2 - Rapid Geomorphic Assessment

Ompompanoosuc

Reach	Segment	Sub Reach?	Degradation			Aggradation			Widening		Planform		Geo Score	Geo Condition	Evol. Stage	Confin. Type	Sensitivity	QC Staff	QC Auto
			Score	STD	Historic	Score	STD	Historic	Score	Historic	Score	Historic							
R15	A	No											0.00	Fair		NW		P	F
R15	B	Yes											0.00	Good		SC		P	F
R16	0	No	12	None	Yes	8	None	No	9	No	7	No	0.45	Fair	III	BD	Very High	P	P
R16T2.01	0	No											0.00	Good		BD		P	F
R16T2.02	0	No	13	None	Yes	12	None	No	15	No	13	No	0.66	Good	III	NW	High	P	P
R16T2.03S1.01	A	No											0.00	Good		VB		P	F
R16T2.03S1.01	B	No	12	None	Yes	8	None	No	9	No	3	No	0.40	Fair	IV	VB	Extreme	P	P
R16T2.03S1.01	C	No											0.00	Good		VB		P	F
R16T2.03S1.02	A	No	11	None	Yes	8	None	No	8	No	9	No	0.45	Fair	IV	VB	Extreme	P	P
R16T2.03S1.02	B	No	8	None	Yes	13	None	No	9	No	7	No	0.46	Fair	III	VB	Very High	P	P
R16T2.04	A	No											0.00	Good		VB		P	F
R16T2.04	B	No	9	None	Yes	17	None	No	8	No	14	No	0.60	Fair	III	VB	Extreme	P	P
R16T2.05	A	No	8	None	Yes	7	Other	No	8	No	7	No	0.38	Fair	IV	VB	Very High	P	P
R16T2.05	B	No	10	None	Yes	7	None	No	7	No	7	No	0.39	Fair	III	VB	Very High	P	P
R16T2.05	C	No											0.00	Good		VB		P	F
R16T2.05	D	No	8	None	Yes	11	None	No	7	No	9	No	0.44	Fair	III	VB	Extreme	P	P
R16T2.05	E	No											0.00	Fair		VB		P	F
R16T2.06	A	No	2	None	No	15	None	No	7	No	8	No	0.40	Fair	II	VB	Extreme	P	P
R16T2.06	B	No	5	None	No	14	None	No	8	No	12	No	0.49	Fair	II	VB	Extreme	P	P
R16T2.06	C	Yes	18	None	No	13	None	No	16	No	12	No	0.74	Good	I	VB	High	P	P
R16T2.06	D	No											0.00	Reference		VB		P	F
R17	0	No	8	None	Yes	3	None	No	4	No	3	No	0.23	Poor	IV	VB	Very High	P	P
R18	0	No	3	C to B	Yes	6	None	No	7	No	8	No	0.30	Poor	IV	VB	Very High	P	P
R18T3.01	A	No	6	None	Yes	6	None	No	8	No	5	No	0.31	Poor	IV	VB	High	P	P
R18T3.01	B	No	8	C to B	Yes	13	None	No	14	No	14	No	0.61	Fair	II	BD	High	P	P
R19	A	No	7	None	Yes	8	None	No	7	No	12	No	0.43	Fair	III	NW	Very High	P	P
R19	B	No	4	C to B	Yes	8	None	No	8	No	8	No	0.35	Fair	III	BD	Very High	P	P



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March. 09 2011

Reach	Seg- ment	Sub Rch?	Score	STD	Historic	Score	STD	Historic	Score	Historic	Score	Historic	Geo Score	Geo Condition	Evol. Stage	Confin. Type	Sensitivity	QC Staff	QC Auto
R20	A	No	4	C to B	Yes	17	None	No	9	No	13	No	0.54	Fair	III	BD	Very High	P	P
R20	B	No	7	None	Yes	12	None	No	9	No	13	No	0.51	Fair	III	BD	Very High	P	P
R21	A	No	9	None	Yes	6	None	No	8	No	8	No	0.39	Fair	III	VB	Very High	P	P
R21	B	No	3	C to F	Yes	14	None	No	9	No	12	No	0.48	Fair	III	VB	Extreme	P	P



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March, 09 2011

Phase 2 - Rapid Habitat Assessment Scores

Ompompanoosuc

Explanation of codes used in table header

6.1	Woody Debris Cover	6.5	Hydrologic Characteristics
6.2	Bed Substrate Cover	6.6	Connectivity
6.3	Scour and Deposition Features	6.7	River Banks
6.4	Channel Morphology	6.8	Riparian Area

Reach	Reference Stream Type	Bed-form	Habitat Departure	Reach Length	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.7 Left	6.7 Right	6.8 Left	6.8 Right	Total Score	Percentage	Habitat Condition
R15-A	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	807											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R15-B	Step-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	641											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R16-0	Dune-Ripple	Dune-Ripple	None	1,391	16	10	11	13	10	13	4	5	9	7	98	61	Fair (Major Departure)
R16T2.01-0		Dune-Ripple	None	3,358											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R16T2.02-0	Dune-Ripple	Dune-Ripple	None	1,632	19	8	13	15	12	9	7	7	6	5	101	63	Fair (Major Departure)
R16T2.03S1.01-A		Dune-Ripple	None	5,661											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R16T2.03S1.01-B	Dune-Ripple	Dune-Ripple	None	3,426	15	10	13	16	16	18	7	7	9	6	117	73	Good (Minor Departure)
R16T2.03S1.01-C		Dune-Ripple	None	1,041											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R16T2.03S1.02-A	Dune-Ripple	Dune-Ripple	None	2,747	9	12	9	16	13	11	5	7	3	5	90	56	Fair (Major Departure)
R16T2.03S1.02-B	Riffle-Pool	Dune-Ripple	None	1,602	4	14	11	7	11	7	3	5	2	3	67	42	Fair (Major Departure)
R16T2.04-A		Dune-Ripple	None	1,757											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R16T2.04-B	Dune-Ripple	Dune-Ripple	None	997	8	14	14	12	19	15	4	4	7	7	104	65	Good (Minor Departure)
R16T2.05-A	Dune-Ripple	Riffle-Pool	None	1,497	12	13	13	11	16	12	5	4	6	4	96	60	Fair (Major Departure)
R16T2.05-B	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	2,615	13	13	13	11	15	13	5	7	4	9	103	64	Fair (Major Departure)
R16T2.05-C		Riffle-Pool	None	1,507											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R16T2.05-D	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	610	12	10	12	9	14	12	3	7	3	10	92	58	Fair (Major Departure)
R16T2.05-E		Riffle-Pool	None	1,489											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R16T2.06-A	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	1,386	4	13	13	6	10	8	5	5	2	2	68	43	Fair (Major Departure)
R16T2.06-B	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	2,441	13	12	13	10	15	13	7	7	7	8	105	66	Good (Minor Departure)
R16T2.06-C	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	2,394	14	13	11	16	13	9	7	7	8	8	106	66	Good (Minor Departure)
R16T2.06-D		Riffle-Pool	None	542											0	0	Poor (Severe Departure)
R17-0	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	6,824	16	5	10	9	12	13	3	3	6	6	83	52	Fair (Major Departure)



Stream Geomorphic Assessment

Agency of Natural Resources



Vermont.gov

March. 09 2011

Reach	Reference Stream Type	Bed-form	Habitat Departure	Reach Length	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.7 Left	6.7 Right	6.8 Left	6.8 Right	Total Score	Percent-age	Habitat Condition
R18-0	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	5,372	13	8	12	5	13	13	7	4	7	4	86	54	Fair (Major Departure)
R18T3.01-A	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	1,682	17	12	15	7	11	15	4	5	8	7	101	63	Fair (Major Departure)
R18T3.01-B	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	Plane Bed	625	3	13	7	8	8	15	4	5	4	2	69	43	Fair (Major Departure)
R19-A	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	925	14	11	13	8	13	8	2	5	2	6	82	51	Fair (Major Departure)
R19-B	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	5,236	13	14	12	5	13	10	5	3	5	3	83	52	Fair (Major Departure)
R20-A	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	1,396	9	11	14	6	17	13	5	4	8	2	89	56	Fair (Major Departure)
R20-B	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	2,416	9	8	13	8	16	12	7	4	7	3	87	54	Fair (Major Departure)
R21-A	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	4,292	8	13	14	9	8	12	4	5	3	2	78	49	Fair (Major Departure)
R21-B	Riffle-Pool	Riffle-Pool	None	1,066	5	13	12	4	13	13	5	6	4	3	78	49	Fair (Major Departure)